

IN THE COURT OF THE XIII ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, RANGA REDDY DISTRICT. AT L.B. NAGAR

Present: **SMT. M. VANI,**
XIII Addl. District and Sessions Judge
Ranga Reddy District at L.B. Nagar.

Wednesday, this the 12th day of August, 2026.

Crl.M.P. No. 1226 of 2026

IN

Cr.No. 1214 of 2026

BETWEEN:

Kothapalli Jeevan Kumar, S/o. Ravinder, Age: 25
years, Occ: Driver, R/o. H.No.4-3-151, Road
No.4, Seetharamapuram Colony, Hayathnagar,
Rangareddy District.

.....Petitioner/Accused No.1.

AND

The State of Telangana.
through Station House Officer,
Police Station Hayathnagar
Rep. by its Addl. Public Prosecutor.

.....Respondent/Complainant.

Offence U/ Secs. 69, 88, 115(2), 352, 308(2) r/w 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
Petition filed U/Section 483 of, Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

This petition is coming before me for hearing in the presence of
Sri. T. Nirender Reddy Learned Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused and
of Smt. P. Manjula Devi, Learned Addl. Public Prosecutor representing
on behalf of the State, upon perusing the material papers on record,
upon hearing the arguments and the matter having stood over for
consideration to this day, this Court made the following:

:: O R D E R ::

1. This is the first bail Petition. Counter filed by the learned
Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the State.
2. The learned Counsel for the Petitioner/Accused submitted that the
Petitioner/Accused has been falsely implicated in the above case. The
petitioner/Accused is denying all the allegations made by the defacto

complainant and the petitioner is not committed any offence as alleged by the defacto complainant. The petitioner/accused hailing from respectable family and he has no criminal antecedents prior to this alleged crime. That the petitioner/accused is ready to cooperate with the investigation and he is ready and willing to perform sufficient sureties to the satisfaction of this Court while granting bail to the Petitioner/Accused; and finally prayed to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused on bail on any conditions.

3. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, while reiterating the contentions in the Counter opposed for granting bail and contended that the accused intentionally induced the victim to enter into a physical relationship by repeatedly giving a false promise of marriage, resulting in her pregnancy on two Occasions. The accused compelled the victim to terminate the first pregnancy by arranging abortion pills and thereafter continued to exploit her sexually. When the victim became pregnant again and insisted upon marriage, the accused refused and abandoned her. The Petitioner/Accused is released on bail, the accused may also influence the witnesses and try to tamper the evidence or threatening the witnesses in any manner, the person is also not co-operating with investigating agency and legal proceedings for further investigation and she further contended that the investigation is pending. If the petitioner/Accused is released on bail he

may hamper the fair investigation of the case; and finally praying this Court to dismiss the Petition.

4. The Offences alleged against the Petitioner/Accused is punishable under Sections 69, 88, 115(2), 352, 308(2) r/w 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. The Petitioner/ Accused is in judicial custody since 27-07-2026.

5. Heard both sides and perused the material available on record.

6. Now the point that arises for determination before this Court is that "Whether the Petitioner/Accused is entitled for grant of bail as prayed for"?

7. POINT :-

The allegation against the petitioner is that he developed acquaintance with the victim woman through Instagram and subsequently entered into a love relationship with her. By inducing the victim to believe that he would marry her, the accused established physical relations with her on several occasions at the above places. As a result of such physical relationship, the victim became pregnant during January, 2026. The accused, without intending to marry her and against her initial wishes, persuaded her to terminate the pregnancy, procured abortion pills through his friend and administered the same to the victim, thereby voluntarily causing her miscarriage. Owing to excessive bleeding, he shifted the victim to Vanasthalipuram Hospital,

Vanasthalipuram, where she underwent Dilatation and Curettage (D&C). Thereafter, the accused continued to maintain physical relations with the victim at his rented house, resulting in her becoming pregnant once again during July, 2026. When the victim requested him to marry her, the accused refused. Subsequently, at Government Hospital, Koti, when both families assembled for discussion, the accused, along with his younger brother, abused and voluntarily caused hurt to the victim's family members and forcibly snatched the mobile phone of the victim's brother before leaving the hospital. Hence, she requested to take necessary action against the accused.

8. The Accused has committed a grave offence. As the investigation is under progress. If being released on bail, there is every likelihood of the Petitioner/Accused threatening the victim and the witnesses and cause hurdle to the investigation and it is very difficult for investigation agency to proceed further investigation and collection of evidence. As such, the Petitioner/Accused does not deserve to be released on bail at this stage.

9. IN THE RESULT, the petition is **dismissed**.

Typed to my dictation, by the Stenographer directly on computer corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this the 12th day of August, 2026.

**XIII ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE
RANGA REDDY DISTRICT AT L.B. NAGAR.**

Copies to :
All Concerned.

