

1 CC NI ACT/29898/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Koli Vala Daya
2 CC NI ACT/29899/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs NARPAT SINGH HADA
3 CC NI ACT/29900/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Urmila
4 CC NI ACT/29901/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs MUKESH JANGID
5 CC NI ACT/29902/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs RATANN LAL
7 CC NI ACT/29904/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs MOHAN LAL
8 CC NI ACT/29905/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs VARSHA ROAT
9 CC NI ACT/29906/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs SURENDRA MEENA
10 CC NI ACT/29907/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs AKRAM
11 CC NI ACT/29908/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs BUBUL MECH
12 CC NI ACT/29909/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs MOHAMMED AARIF
13 CC NI ACT/29910/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Kanjiya Ghanshyambhai Baldevbhai
14 CC NI ACT/29911/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs AKHILESH GUPTA
15 CC NI ACT/29912/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs ISRAIL ALI KHAN
16 CC NI ACT/29913/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Shubham
17 CC NI ACT/29914/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Jatin Kumar
18 CC NI ACT/29915/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Aameen Khan
19 CC NI ACT/29916/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs JYOTI KUMARI
20 CC NI ACT/29917/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs DHARMPAAL SINGH
21 CC NI ACT/29918/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Naynaben Vishalkumar Makvana
22 CC NI ACT/29919/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs SUBHASH RAJU WAGHMARE
23 CC NI ACT/29920/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Sunny Kumar
24 CC NI ACT/29921/2026
Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Ajay Meena

10.04.2026

Fresh case received by way of assignment through e-filing. It be checked and registered.

Present : Ms. Bhawana Roy, Ld. Counsel for complainant.

Physical file submitted.

This complaint is filed U/s. 25 r/w Section 27 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007. It is alleged in the complaint that the accused had issued the NACH mandate in favour of complainant in discharge of his liability. On presentation, the NACH mandate was returned dishonored. Thereafter, the complainant made the demand for the payment of the NACH mandate amount by giving the statutory notice. The accused failed to make the payment within the prescribed period of 15 days from the receipt of the demand notice. Complaint perused. Documents perused.

Prima-facie, there is sufficient material to proceed further. Accordingly, this Court takes cognizance of the offence U/s. 25 r/w Section 27 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007.

The complainant/AR of complainant has also filed his evidence by way of affidavit Ex.CW-1/A to prove the averments made in the complaint. It has led PSE by tendering the affidavit. The complainant/AR of complainant has reiterated the facts as averred in the complaint. Further, the complainant/AR of complainant states that the complainant does not wish to lead any further PSE. Thus, pre-summoning evidence on behalf of complainant is closed.

I have heard arguments on point of summoning and perused the complaint, evidence by way of affidavit, bank memo, NACH mandate, demand notice and other documents on record. Prima facie the complaint is within limitation. In view of the averments made in the complaint, this Court has territorial jurisdiction to entertain this complaint. No other offence other than U/s. 25 r/w Section 27 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007 is made out against the accused person(s).

Perusal of the record shows that the accused is the individual. Let summons be issued to accused at the given address(es) through all permitted modes including dasti on filing of PF within 60 days, returnable **12.11.2027**.

Complainant is directed to file tracking report and affidavit of service on NDOH.

(Siddhant Kumar)
JMFC (NI Act)-05/PHC/NDD
10.04.2026