

**IN THE COURT OF THE CIVIL JUDGE AND J.M.F.C AT
KUNDGOL**

O.S.No. 82/2021

PLAINTIFF : Smt. Shankravva

V/s

DEFENDANT/S : Sri. Shankrappa and others

ISSUES

1. Whether the plaintiff proves that the suit schedule properties are ancestral properties inherited by the mother of plaintiff and defendant No.1 to 7 which are in joint possession and enjoyment of themselves as alleged by her in her plaint ?
2. Whether plaintiff further proves that the defendant No.9 to 21 by creating documents have got entered their names in the revenue documents as alleged by her in her plaint ?
3. Whether the defendant No.9 to 12 proves that the suit schedule property bearing Re.Sy.No.227/1A/1+2:3 totally measuring 4 acres 1 gunta in the midde portion 5 guntas of land was sold by defendant No.1 and 2 to their father through a registered sale deed on dated 20.07.1999 towards their family legal necessity ?
4. Whether the defendant No.15 and 16 proves that the suit schedule property bearing Re.Sy.No.227/1A+2/3 totally measuring 4 acres 1 gunta out of said extant in the middle portion 10 guntas of land was sold by defendant No.1 along with his wife and children to their father through a registered sale deed on dated 27.05.1999 as alleged by them in their written statement ?
5. Whether the defendant No.18 and 20 proves that the suit schedule property bearing Re.Sy.No.227/1A+2B:3 totally measuring 4 acres 1 gunta in the middle portion 5 guntas

of land was sold by defendant No.1 and 2 to the husband of defendant No.18 and father of defendant No.19 to 21 through a registered sale deed on dated 20.07.1999 towards their family legal necessity ?

6. Whether the defendant No.14 proves that the suit schedule property bearing Re.Sy.No.227/1A/2B:3 totally measuring 4 acres 1 gunta towards the Eastern side land measuring 10 guntas of land was sold by defendant No.1 and 2 to their father through a registered sale deed on dated 27.05.1999 towards their family legal necessity ?
7. Whether the defendant No.8 proves that suit of plaintiff is bad for non-jonder of necessary parties ?
8. Whether defendant No.8 further proves that suit of plaintiff is bad for non-inclusion of all the joint family properties ?
9. Whether the defendant No.8 to 12, 14 to 16 and defendant No.18 to 21 proves that suit of plaintiff is barred by limitation ?
10. Whether the defendant No.9 to 12, 14 to 16 and defendant No.18 to 21 proves that they are the bona-fide purchasers for value of the respective suit schedule properties purchased by father of defendant No.9 to 12, 14 to 16, husband of defendant No.18 and father of defendant No.19 to 21 ?
11. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for relief of partition and separate possession ? If so what share ?
12. What order or decree?

(Dictated in Open Court by me, typed by typist,
On this the 13th day of December 2023)

Sd/-
[SMT. GAYATRI]
CIVIL JUDGE & JMFC,
KUNDGOL