

1;Cc Ni Act 29263/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Shahin Ahmed
2;Cc Ni Act 29264/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Savitri Devi
5;Cc Ni Act 29267/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Pagi Ramanbhai Shanabhai
6;Cc Ni Act 29268/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Ganesh Behera
7;Cc Ni Act 29269/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Taslim Jahan
8;Cc Ni Act 29270/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Niraj Kumar
9;Cc Ni Act 29273/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Prakash Chandra
10;Cc Ni Act 29275/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Ramu
12;Cc Ni Act 29280/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Dushyant Puri
13;Cc Ni Act 29281/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Khokhad Shehnajben Gulabbhai
14;Cc Ni Act 29285/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Gyansingh
15;Cc Ni Act 29288/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Karanbhai Gujarabhai Dabhi
16;Cc Ni Act 29289/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Rani G
17;Cc Ni Act 29291/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Rashid Khan
19;Cc Ni Act 29295/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Koli Bhavesh Haribhai
20;Cc Ni Act 29297/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Sandipbha Narsingbhai Bariya
21;Cc Ni Act 29299/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Manish Kumar
23;Cc Ni Act 29302/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Yusuf Kureshi
24;Cc Ni Act 29303/2026 Hero Fincorp Ltd Vs Hirachand Koli

09.04.2026

Fresh case received by way of assignment through e-filing. It be checked and registered.

Present : Sh. Rohan Talwar, Ld. counsel for complainant.

Physical file submitted.

This complaint is filed U/s. 25 r/w Section 27 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007. It is alleged in the complaint that the accused had issued the NACH mandate in favour of complainant in discharge of his liability. On presentation, the NACH mandate was returned dishonored. Thereafter, the complainant made the demand for the payment of the NACH mandate amount by giving the statutory notice. The accused failed to make the payment within the prescribed period of 15 days from the receipt of the demand notice. Complaint perused. Documents perused.

Prima-facie, there is sufficient material to proceed further. Accordingly, this Court takes cognizance of the offence U/s.

SIDDHANT
KUMAR
Digitally signed
by SIDDHANT
KUMAR
Date:
2026.04.09
16:41:01
+05'30

25 r/w Section 27 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007.

The complainant/AR of complainant has also filed his evidence by way of affidavit Ex.CW-1/A to prove the averments made in the complaint. It has led PSE by tendering the affidavit. The complainant/AR of complainant has reiterated the facts as averred in the complaint. Further, the complainant/AR of complainant states that the complainant does not wish to lead any further PSE. Thus, pre-summoning evidence on behalf of complainant is closed.

I have heard arguments on point of summoning and perused the complaint, evidence by way of affidavit, bank memo, NACH mandate, demand notice and other documents on record. Prima facie the complaint is within limitation. In view of the averments made in the complaint, this Court has territorial jurisdiction to entertain this complaint. No other offence other than U/s. 25 r/w Section 27 of the Payment and Settlement Systems Act, 2007 is made out against the accused person(s).

Perusal of the record shows that the accused is the individual. Let summons be issued to accused at the given address(es) through all permitted modes including dasti on filing of PF within 90 days, returnable **08.11.2027**.

Complainant is directed to file tracking report and affidavit of service on NDOH.

Digitally signed
by SIDDHANT
KUMAR
Date:
2026.04.09
16:41:06 +0530

(Siddhant Kumar)
JMFC (NI Act)-05/PHC/NDD
09.04.2026