

**IN THE COURT OF CIVIL JUDGE AND JMFC, KALAGHATAGI.**

O.S.No. 120/2016

Further Cross Examination : DW-1

Duly Sworn before me on 01.07.2025.

Name of witness	Ningavva Hulagur
Husband's Name	Siddappa
Age	62 Years
Designation	Housewife
Place	Kamanagatti

**Further Cross Examination by : Smt. MSD (Learned counsel for plaintiff).**

5. One Egappa S/o. Kallappa Hulagur is my brother-in-law. I now see a document shown to me which is already marked Ex.P.15. I do not know anything regarding the document marked as Ex.P.15. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely before this Court despite having knowledge about the same transaction in Ex.P.15. It is false to suggest that, I am deposing falsely before this Court despite having knowledge that the said sale transaction was made by my husband for procuring funds for my daughters marriage.

6. I own another property to an extent of one acre apart from the suit property. I am cultivating the said property by myself. I have grown corn in the said property.

7. I have filed a police complaint against plaintiff No.1 for having interfered into my property. It is true to suggest that, the police have informed me to approach the Civil Court for settling the land dispute. I have filed an appeal challenging the order passed by Grampachayath, before the Taluka Panchayath. It is false to suggest that, the said appeal was dismissed by Taluka Panchayath for the reason that the said authority had no jurisdiction for disposing the said appeal.

8. It is false to suggest that, I have filed a suit in OS No.270/2014 in this Court with an intension to harass the plaintiff in this case. I have mentioned that I have filed a suit in OS No.270/2014 in this Court, in my written statement. I have produced the tax paid receipts of the suit property in the file of this case.

9. It is false to suggest that, the suit in OS No.270/2014 was decided exparte. It is true to suggest that, the plaintiff in this case had preferred an appeal against the said judgment of exparte in OS No. 270/2014 before Hon'ble Senior Civil Judge, Kalaghatagi in RA No.188/2016.

10. It is false to suggest that, the plaintiff has putup a house in the suit property. It is false to suggest that, despite the plaintiff being in possession and enjoyment of the suit property, I am making false claims against the said property.

Cross-Examination: NIL.

(Dictated to the typist in my presence in the open court)

R.O.I.A.C

**CJ & JMFC, Kalaghatagi.**