



The State of Maharashtra

Vs.

Sanket Babasaheb Ghodke

: ORDER BELOW EXH. 01 :

1. The alleged offence to have been committed by the accused person is under Section 65(e) of the Bombay Prohibition Act. Many times issued summons to witnesses but prosecution could not secured their presence. This case is registered R.C.C. in view of Government Resolution/ordinance. However, now a days that resolution is not in existence. Hence, offence under section 65(e) of Bombay Prohibition Act be tried summarily as per Bombay Prohibition Act. The accused is absent since long. The prosecution failed to secure the presence of the accused person. No purpose will be served if matter kept pending. This case is summary trial, hence, it is necessary to stop the proceeding under Section 258 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Hence, I pass the following order. **ORDER**

1. The proceeding of this case is stopped vide Section 258 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.
2. The accused person is released.
3. Muddemal property be disposed off in accordance with the law.

The accused absent since long, hence cash security of accused Rs.2500/- at C.Reg. No.220/2021, dtd.11/01/2021 be forfeited to the Government.

(D.M. Giri)

Place : Karjat.
Date : 09/03/2022

Judicial Magistrate, F.C., Karjat,
Tal.Karjat, Dist.Ahmednagar