

Nayab Kaur Vs. Baljeet Singh etc.

Present: Sh. Naveen Badarpur counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Kanwarpal Verma counsel for defendants No.1, 2, 4 to 6.
Sh. Chand Bir Mandhan counsel for defendants No.3 and 7.
Defendants No.8 and 9 proceeded *ex parte* VOD 11.05.2026.

Replication not filed. Counsel for the parties were asked to opt for settlement of dispute by adopting one of the alternative dispute resolving procedure as prescribed under Section 89 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1973 but counsel for the parties have submitted that they do not want to opt for any of the alternative dispute resolving procedure and would like to get the dispute adjudicated from the court. After hearing arguments and from pleadings following issues arise in the present suit:-

1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to decree for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from dispossessing the plaintiff from the suit land and further from interfering in the possession of plaintiff? OPP
2. Whether the suit property has been partitioned by metes and bounds? OPP
3. Whether the suit of plaintiff is not maintainable in its present form? OPD
4. Whether the plaintiff has no cause of action to file and maintain the present suit? OPD
5. Whether the plaintiff has concealed true and material facts from the Court and has not come before the Court with clean hands? OPD
6. Whether the plaintiff is estopped from filing the present suit by his own act and conduct? OPD
7. Relief.

No other issue is pressed or claimed or arises. Onus not objected to. Now, to come up on **09.10.2026** for plaintiffs evidence. List of witnesses be filed along with PF and DM within seven days, failing which evidence of plaintiff shall be brought at own responsibility. Ahlmad is directed to report that whether any list of witnesses is filed.

Date of order: 14.08.2026
Reema Sharma, Stenographer Gr.III

(Kunal Mittal)
Civil Judge (Junior Division),
Indri, (Karnal)/(UID No.HR-0718)