

Advocate for the defendants files a memo with regard to the rectification of the order sheet dated 29.07.2021.

On perusal of the memo, it reveals that, some of the defendants were entered into compromise with the plaintiffs and on the said date i.e. on 29.07.2021, due to typographical mistake, the name of the defendant No.64(b) and (c) and the defendant No.205 were left out and accordingly it is read as the compromise arrived between the plaintiffs and other defendants including the defendant No.64(b) and (c) and the defendant No.205. Further, due to oversight, the name of the defendant No.198 was entered though he has not entered into compromise.

Hence, it is ordered that the defendant No.198 is not entered into compromise.

Accordingly, the said compromise entered into between the parties including these defendant No.64(b) and (c) and the defendant No.205.

Call on for hearing on compromise petition and further evidence, if any.

By: 30/8/2021.