

Chetana K. Advocate filed vakalath for proposed defendant No.316 and application U/o.1 Rule 10 of C.P.C. She also filed vakalath for proposed defendant No.67(a to c) and application U/o.22 Rule 4 of C.P.C. Advocate for plaintiff endorsed no objection on both applications. Proposed parties are stated to be in possession of the suit property. Hence, they are proper parties to the suit. Hence, both applications are allowed.

Plaintiff is directed to add defendant No.316 and bring L.Rs. of defendant No.67 by amending the plaint and furnishing amended plaint.

C.K. Advocate also filed memo of retirement for defendant No.50. She produced notice given to defendant No.50 and postal endorsement that it was served upon him. Defendant No.50 is called out, absent. Hence, C.K. Advocate is permitted to retire from defendant No.50.

On 29.10.2022 this Court has accepted the compromise petition and directed the office to draw decree by accepting earlier compromise petition. Still decree is not drawn. After drawing of the decree, the parties are directed to register the said decree in the

O.S.No. 389/2013

office of the Sub-Registrar, as the right is created first time in favour of defendants by virtue of this decree.

Call on 25.03.2023.

Prl. SCJ, Hubballi.