

1;Cc Ni Act 24732/2026 Halonix Technologies Pvt Ltd Vs Pratibha Kumari
2;Cc Ni Act 24734/2026 Halonix Technologies Private Limited Vs Bhavesh Sabnani
4;Cc Ni Act 24800/2026 Halonix Technologies Private Limited Vs Govind Singh
5;Cc Ni Act 24801/2026 Halonix Technologies Private Limited Vs Kodikonda
Moulasab Parvez Khan
6;Cc Ni Act 24803/2026 Halonix Technologies Private Limited Vs Satinder Singh
7;Cc Ni Act 24804/2026 Halonix Technologies Pvt Ltd Vs Vivek Kumar Rai
8;Cc Ni Act 24805/2026 Halonix Technologies Pvt Ltd Vs Shashi Mittal
9;Cc Ni Act 24806/2026 Halonix Technologies Private Limited Vs Vikas Joshi
11;Cc Ni Act 24808/2026 Halonix Technologies Pvt Ltd Vs Vinay Kumar
13;Cc Ni Act 24810/2026 Halonix Technologies Private Limited Vs Praveen Kumar
Gupta
24.03.2026

***Fresh case received by way of assignment through e-filing. It be
checked and registered.***

Present : Mr. Vikas Kumar, Ld. counsel for complainant
appeared through VC.

Physical file submitted by complainant.

This complaint is filed U/s. 138 of NI Act. It is alleged in the complaint that the accused had issued cheque in favour of complainant in discharge of his liability. On presentation, the cheque was returned dishonored. Thereafter, the complainant made the demand for the payment of the cheque amount by giving the statutory notice. The accused failed to make the payment within the prescribed period of 15 days from the receipt of the demand notice. Complaint perused. Documents perused.

I have perused the complaint, copy of authority letter in favour of AR of the complainant, the evidence by way of affidavit of the AR of the complainant and the documents relied upon by the complainant. The present complaint has been filed within the period of limitation and within the jurisdiction of this Court. The original documents have been exhibited in accordance with the pre
summoning evidence by way of affidavit and the affidavit of

SIDDHANT
KUMAR
Digitally signed
by SIDDHANT
KUMAR
Date: 2026.03.24
15:29:24 +0530

evidence is **Ex.CW-1/A**.

As per the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **A.C. Narayanan Vs. State of Maharashtra and Anr. 2014 11 SCC 790** the examination of the complainant upon oath for issuance of process is a matter of discretion of the Magistrate. In the considered opinion of this Court, there is no need to examine the complaint for the purpose of issuance of process. There is sufficient material before this Court to proceed against the accused. I hereby take cognizance of the offence U/s. 138 of N.I. Act.

Perusal of the record shows that the accused is an individual. The cheque also bears the signatures of the accused. Let summons be issued to accused at the given address(es) through all permitted modes including dasti on filing of PF within 60 days, returnable **10.05.2027**.

Complainant is directed to file tracking report and affidavit of service on NDOH.

Digitally
signed by
SIDDHANT
KUMAR
Date:
2026.03.24
15:29:27
+0530

(Siddhant Kumar)
JMFC (NI Act)-05/PHC/NDD
24.03.2026