

**IN THE COURT OF THE III ADDL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND C.J.M.,
DHARWAD.**

C.C.No. 3224/2022

D.W.2

Duly sworn on 08-06-2023

(Sri. SSK advocate is appointed as translator as he know the Marathi language)

**Further Cross examination : By advocate for complainant
Sri. SSP**

It is false to suggest that I gave requisition letter to the complainant as per Ex.P 45 document. It is false to suggest that I issued said letter prior to the agreement between me and complainant. It is false to suggest that Ex.P.45 bears my seal . Witness voluntarily states that the seal affixed to Ex.P.45 is like my seal and he answered that his signature and seal was obtained on blank papers. It is true to suggest that the Ex.P.45 is on my letter head.

I am doing my business since from last 15 years. It is true to suggest that I am having knowledge about the business. It is true to suggest that usually nobody will give blank signed papers in the business. It is false to suggest that for the first time meeting between me and the complainant was held in the year 2014. It is false to suggest that on 20-08-2014 there was an agreement between me and complainant. It is true to suggest

that Ex.P.46 Bond paper was purchased by me at Manmad. It is false to suggest that on the next date of agreement I issued Ex.P.47 letter. The witnesses voluntaries that he issued said letter on a blank letter head. It is false to suggest that I have signed to said letter and issued 6 cheques in the name of complainant. It is true to suggest that Ex.P.47 bears my seal and signature.

It is true to suggest that in the year 2015 I had purchased Ex.P.48 bond paper at Manmad. It is true to suggest that Devidas was my friend and he accompanied me to Mumbai. I do not remember about the signature of said Devidas to Ex.P.48 document. It is true to suggest that the Ex.P.48 document is having my photo but it is false to suggest that I signed to said document. It is true that to the Ex.P.48 document there is a seal of my proprietorship but I have not signed to said document. It is false to suggest that after the meeting between me and complainant said Ex.P.48 agreement was executed. It is false to suggest that I have signed to Ex.P.45 to 48 documents.

It is true to suggest that complainant issued notice to me as per Ex.P.53. It is true to suggest that I have replied to said notice as per Ex.P.54 reply notice. I do not know about the Ex.P.56 notice issued by complainant to my advocate. I do not know whether my advocate replied to said notice or not.

It is true to suggest that Raghu Jadhav is my friend. It is true to suggest that he was accompanied me in the year 2014

but it is false to suggest that at that time there was agreement between me and complainant. I do not know whether he said Raghu Jadhav signed to the Ex.P.46 document or not.

At this stage witnesses prays time to go through the documents and answer, hence time granted.

(Typed in the open court in my dictation)

R.O.I & .A.C.

3rd Addl Sr. Civil Judge & CJM.,
Dharwad.