

**IN THE COURT OF THE III ADDL. SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE AND C.J.M.,
DHARWAD.**

C.C.No. 3224/2022

D.W.2

Duly sworn on 04-03-2023

**(Sri. SSH advocate as appointed as translator as he know the
Marathi language)**

Examination in chief by: Sri. A D A Advocate

I have filed my affidavit in lieu of my examination in chief,
the contents of my affidavit are true and correct.

The copy of the E-mail send by one Vinit Kumar to me dt
18-8-2014 is marked as Ex.D.1, the certificate issued by my
brother with regard to that mail is marked as Ex.D.2. Hence I
pray to acquit me to from this case.

Cross examination : By advocate for complainant Sri. SSP

I have started Sangle Motors business in the year 2014 and
as the company has sent mail to me in the year 2017. Hence I
closed that business in the year 2018. But the account is still
continue. Sangle Motors business billing started in the year 2014
and it closed in the year 2017.

It is true to suggest that the complainant is stockist and distributor of international tractors. It is true to suggest that I was the dealer for said international tractors. It is true to suggest that I was the seller and service provider of said international tractors.

It is false to suggest that I requested the complainant to supply the said tractors on credit basis. It is true to suggest that the complainant had supplied tractors to me. Complainant had supplied said tractors to me from 2014 to 2016. Witness voluntaries that those tractors was supplied to him by the company and not by complainant. Witness further voluntaries that Sonalika International company had supplied tractors to him. I have received bills with regard to purchase of those tractors from the Sonalika company as well as complainant. I have those documents with me. I have made payment to both of them. I have agreement of said Sonalika company entered between me and said company. I can produce those agreement before the court.

It is false to suggest that I have entered in the an agreement with complainant for supply of tractors on credit basis. It is true to suggest that the Ex.P.46 is having my photo but I have not signed to that documents. But that signature is like my signature. It is true to suggest that the seal to the said agreement is in the name of Sangle Motors. It is true to suggest that the Ex.P.46 bond is purchase at Manmad , Tq: Nandgaon. It is true to suggest that I have purchased said bond. It is false

to suggest that on 20-8-2014 there was an agreement between me and complainant as per Ex.P.46. It is false to suggest that the Ex.P.46 page No.1 to 5 bears my signatures. It is false to suggest that the Ex.P.52 bears my signature but it is true that the photo affixed to said document is my photo. It is true to suggest that my company Sangle Motors account is at State Bank of Hyderabad at Manmad branch. It is true to suggest that the account number of said account is 62346828258. It is true to suggest that said bank authority issued my signature verification certificate as per Ex.P.52. It is false to suggest that the Ex.P.1 to 10 bears my signatures.

Advocate for complainant prays time for cross examination, hence time granted.

(Typed in the open court in my dictation)

R.O.I & .A.C.

3rd Addl Sr. Civil Judge & CJM.,
Dharwad.