

MHCC020204672025



**IN THE COURT OF SESSION FOR GREATER BOMBAY
BAIL APPLICATION EXHIBIT 3
IN
SESSIONS CASE NO.1455 OF 2025**

Umesh Ramesh Dubbula

Age: 25 years,

R/at: 1-101, Yelkapalli, Mandal Panchi,

Kalpet, Dist-Komarambin Aasifabad,

Telangana.

...Applicant/
accused

Versus

The State of Maharashtra

[Through, DCB CID Unit-CIU, Mumbai
vide C.R. No. 72 of 2025. (Cuffe Parade
police Station, Crime No.181/2025)]

...Respondent/
Prosecution

Appearance:-

Ld. Advocate Shailesh Kharat alongwith Adv. Ashwet Bhoir for
Applicant/accused.

Ld. Additional PP Ashwini Rayakar for the State.

**CORAM : HIS HONOUR THE ADDL.SSESSIONS JUDGE
Anil D. Salunkhe(C.R.No.56)**

DATE : 28.01.2026.

ORDER

This application is filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for regular bail in Crime No.72 of 2025,

registered at DCB CID Unit-CIU, Mumbai (Cuffe Parade police Station, crime No.181/2025) for the offence punishable under Sections 303(2), 319(2), 61(2) read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 alongwith Section 3, 7, 25 of The Indian Arms Act.

2. In short, it is alleged that on 06.09.2025 in between 7:00 p.m. to 1.00 a.m., while informant Alok Kaushal Sing was on duty on his Navy department at A. P. Tower, New Navy Nagar, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai, at about 7.50 am, unknown person approached him. He claimed to be from the Q.R.T. department and demanded INSAS rifle, ammunition and asked him to go to the hostel. On the basis of uniform and mode and manner of talking with him, informant feels that said unknown person may be his Superior, so he followed him. Accordingly, informant handed over INSAS rifle, one empty magazine and two magazines with 20-20 rounds each towards him for verification. Then as per instruction from said person, informant left the said premises. After 5 minutes, because of missing of his watch, he came back at A. P. Tower, but he noticed absence of his reliever INSAS rifle as well as empty magazine and two magazines with 20-20 rounds each, etc. He took search of said person, but not succeed to find out. So, he lodged report at police station Cuffe Parade. On the basis of report lodged by informant, PSO of police station Cuffe Parade registered the crime no. 181/2025 against unknown person and thereafter, transferred to DCB CID Unit-CIU, Mumbai and accordingly it was registered as a C.R. No.72 of 2025.

3. During further investigation, Investigation Officer found involvement of present applicant and his brother Rakesh, who is in

service along with informant in said Navy department. Therefore, Investigation Officer arrested present applicant and his brother on 10.09.2025 and since then applicant/accused is in jail.

4. Now, by filing this application, Ld. Advocate for applicant submitted that already alleged weapons i.e. INSAS rifle, empty magazine and two magazines with 20-20 rounds each seized by the Investigation Officer. Nothing is remained to recover or discover by keeping this applicant/accused behind bar. Applicant is not involved in commission of alleged theft of weapons. Investigation papers does not shows his active role in alleged incident. Applicant is ready to furnish bail and obey the conditions. So, considering all above aspects, prayed for applicant/accused be released on bail.

5. On the contrary, Ld. APP by filing say on backside of present application as well as say received from Investigation officer as per Exh.3A raised objection on the ground of involvement of this applicant along with main accused Rakesh in commission of theft of the live Cartridge and INSAS rifle. So, considering seriousness of crime, prayed for application may be rejected.

6. In the circumstances, considering rival submissions and entire report before me, prima facie, record shows crime is registered against unknown person. Record also shows brother of this applicant who is co-accused in present case namely Rakesh is in service along with informant in said Navy department. Prima-facie, contents in FIR shows informant came in contact with one person towards whom he handed over his INSAS rifle and live Cartridge as alleged. Apart from it

record also shows already alleged weapons recovered by the police and nothing is to be remained to recover or discover by keeping applicant/accused behind bar for further indefinite period. Prima-facie, it is not case of the prosecution that this applicant/accused played main role in commission of the alleged incident. So, considering the nature of allegations against present applicant and investigation papers to that effect on record, according to me, in say Exh.3A no any serious material ground shown by the Investigation Officer to establish that keeping applicant/accused behind bar is the only solution to conclude the trial. On the contrary, considering the nature of allegations, recovery of the alleged weapon and time required for completion of the trial, it is not just and proper to keep the applicant/accused behind bar for further indefinite period without effective trial. Therefore, apprehension shown by the prosecution can be cured by imposing conditions. Hence, I proceed to pass following order:-

ORDER

1. Bail Application Exhibit 3 in Sessions Case No.1455 of 2025 is hereby allowed.
2. Applicant/accused **Umesh Ramesh Dubbula** is ordered to be released on executing his PR bond of Rs.25,000/- with one or two local sureties in the like amount in Crime No.72 of 2025, registered at DCB CID Unit-CIU, Mumbai (Cuffe Parade police Station, crime No.181/2025) for the offence punishable under Sections 303(2), 319(2), 61(2) read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 alongwith Section 3, 7, 25 of The Indian Arms Act.
3. Applicant/accused shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement and/or influence and or pressurize or cause any threat or give any promise to anybody acquainted with the facts of accusations

made against the applicant so as to dissuade such person from disclosing such facts to the court or to the investigating agency and shall not tamper in any manner whatsoever with the prosecution evidence whatsoever.

4. The applicant/accused shall not leave India without the prior permission of this Court.

5. The applicant/accused to submit his contact details to the investigating agency and keep it updated in case there is any change therein, within a period of two weeks.

6. The applicant/accused shall provide addresses and mobile numbers of his two blood relatives.

7. The applicant/accused shall secure his presence before DCB CID Unit-CIU, Mumbai as and when called for further investigation, if any.

Date : 28.01.2026

(**Anil D. Salunkhe**)
Additional Sessions Judge
City Civil & Sessions Court,
Gr. Bombay (C.R.56)

Dictated on : 28.01.2026
Typed on : 29.01.2026
Checked on : 30.01.2026
Signed on : 30.01.2026

..6..

BA Exh.3 in SC 1455/2025

“ CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL
SIGNED JUDGMENT/ORDER”

UPLOAD DATE AND TIME : 30.01.2026 at 06.00 p.m.

NAME OF STENOGRAPHER : Mr. Umesh G. Jadhav

NAME OF THE JUDGE	HHJ SHRI.Anil D. Salunkhe (C.R.No.56)
Date of Pronouncement of Order	28.01.2026
Order signed by the P.O. On	30.01.2026
Order uploaded on	30.01.2026

