

ORDERS ON I.A. NO. II

Heard. Learned counsel for the plaintiff the present suit is came to be filed for the relief of permanent injunction, along with the suit plaintiff has maintained present I.A. and sought restrained defendant from interfering over suit property. It is submitted that suit property was purchased by the plaintiff through register sale deed dated 07/04/2000. It is further argued that the defendant without having any manner of rights over the suit property is trying to interfering property belongs to plaintiff. In support of his arguments learned counsel for the plaintiff has relied the copy of sale deed dated 07/04/2000, tax paid receipts, representation

O.S. 873/2022

given to the HDMC. During the course of arguments learned counsel for the plaintiff submitted that If the injunction is not granted the plaintiff would suffer by hardship and inconvenience. On perusal of documents it prima facie supports the arguments canvassed by learned counsel for the plaintiff. As such, this court feels that it is fit case to grant interim order as sought at this stage, other wise the filing of present I.A. would be defeated. Hence, the following:

ORDER

Defendant and his agents or anybody claiming under him are hereby restrained from interfering over the suit property till next date of hearing.

Plaintiff to comply the order 39 Rule 3 of CPC. Issue order on I.A. No. II and suit

O.S. 873/2022

summons to the defendants.

Call on 18/01/2023.

15/12/2022

P.C.J.& JMFC.,DWD

O.S. 873/2022