

IN THE SPECIAL COURT FOR TRIAL OF SC/ST ACT CASES, PUDUKKOTTAI.

Present: Thiru. T. Panneerselvam, M.B.A., M.L.,
Additional District and Sessions Judge/Presiding Officer, EC and NDPS
Act cases, Pudukkottai.

Special Sessions Judge, Special Court for Trial of SC/ST Act Cases,
Pudukkottai. (FAC)

Wednesday the 12th day of August 2026

Cr.M.P.No. 248/2026

Poovendran, aged 60/2026,
S/o. Ramachandran,

... Petitioner/Accused

// Versus //

State Represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Pudukkottai Town Police Station,
Cr.No.106/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

The Petition was filed by the petitioner/accused on 10.08.2026 and after having heard the submission of the advocate Thiru.S.Mohamed Yearshad, LLB., Learned Counsel for the petitioner, and the Learned Special public prosecutor Thiru.S.Pandiyaraj, B.A., B.L., for the state and Defacto complainant is present along with his counsel Thiru.S.Dhilipanraja, B.A., B.L., and on perusing the records and having stood for consideration till this day, the court delivered the following

Order

1. The petitioner/accused have filed this petition seeking for bail U/s 483 BNSS.

2. This petitioner/accused are alleged to have committed the offences U/s.118(2), 126(2), 296(b), 351(3) of BNS r/w 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of SC/ST POA Amendment Act, accordingly FIR registered. Objection filed by the Special Public Prosecutor perused. Defacto complainant is present and reports serious objection.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that respondent police has registered a case against the petitioner/accused for the alleged offence U/s.118(2), 126(2), 296(b), 351(3) of BNS r/w 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of SC/ST POA Amendment Act, based on the false complaint given by the Defacto - complainant and the petitioner is arrested on 05.08.2026 and the petitioner/accused no way connected with the case and that

the petitioner/accused are ready and willing to furnish sufficient sureties and obey any condition to be imposed by this court and therefore he prays that the petitioner/accused may be enlarged on bail.

4. The Learned Special Public Prosecutor has argued and submitted a reply of the Respondent in which it is stated that the Spl.pp is reports serious and strong objection and the case investigation is pending and to disallow this petition. The petitioner/accused if released on bail, he will abscond and again commit the same offences and hence objected for grand of bail.

5. Defacto complainant is present and reports serious objection.

6. Considering the serious and strong objection stated by the prosecution and case investigation is pending and the offence against woman and case is serious one, if enlarge the accused on bail, the case investigation will affect and there is a chance of absconding is possible and if abscond the accused, the delay in case proceedings will be happened. Hence, this court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/accused at this stage.

In the result, this petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in the open Court on the 12th day of August 2026.

Sd/-T.Panneerselvam,
Special Sessions Judge (FAC),
Special Court for Trial of SC/ST (POA) Act Cases,
Pudukkottai.

Copy to

1. Thiru.S.Mohamed Yearshad, LLB., Petitioners Counsel.
2. Thiru.S.Pandiyaraj, B.A., B.L., Special Public Prosecutor.
3. The Inspector of Police, Pudukkottai Town Police Station.
4. Thiru.S.Dhilipanraja, B.A., B.L., Defacto complainant.

///True copy///

Special Sessions Judge (FAC),
Special Court for Trial of SC/ST (POA) Act Cases,
Pudukkottai.