

22.11.2023

The counsel for the petitioners has furnished documents obtained by Right To Information Act and he wants to mark the same. But counsel for the respondents oppose to mark the said documents. In this regard, the counsel for the petitioners has relied upon the decision reported in Civil Revision Petition No.3031/2015 dated 11.12.2015 between Datti Kameswari-Vs-Singam Rao Sarath Chandra and others. As per the above decision the documents obtained by the RTI are secondary evidence. Hence, it is permitted to mark the same. Therefore, the counsel for the petitioners is permitted to mark the said documents.

For further chief of PW.1 by **14.12.2023**

Sd/-

Civil Judge & J.M.F.C.,
Moodbidri