

53 L I R 792/23

**AMRESH PANDEY Vs. TWENTY FOUR GUARDING AND
SECURE SERVICES PVT. LTD.**

06.05.2026

Present: Workman in person.
None for management.
Be awaited.

(Devender Nain)
Presiding Officer Labour Court-02
Rouse Avenue District Courts
06.05.2026

At this stage.

Present: Workman with AR, Sh. Sajjad Ali.
Sh. Ravi Ranjan, AR for management.

It is submitted by AR for management that he has not received the copy of application filed under Section 36 ID Act on behalf of workman.

Perusal of judicial file reveals that said application was filed on 18.11.2025 with spare copy for the management. Same is not received by the management and no submission regarding the same made on last dates of hearing i.e. 15.01.2026 & 13.03.2026.

Considering the submission, I am of the view that there is delay tactics played on the part of management.

Copy is already on record since 18.11.2025, however they failed to obtain the same or to inspect judicial file. In these circumstances, let copy of the said application be supplied to

Cont.....

(2)

management against acknowledgement. Reply to the same be filed within one week after supplying advance copy to opposite party subject to cost of Rs. 2,000 to be paid to the workman.

Be put up for arguments on said application on
10.07.2026.

(Devender Nain)
Presiding Officer Labour Court-02
Rouse Avenue District Courts
06.05.2026