

MACT 8/25
BHAGWAN SINGH
Vs.
NAZISH KHAN

09.10.2025

Present: Sh. Hans Raj, son of the petitioner.
Respondent nos. 1 & 2 are exparte.
None for respondent no. 3.

Perusal of record shows that the legal offer on behalf of respondent no. 3 has already been filed, however, the same is not acceptable to the petitioner.

Since the legal offer has been filed by respondent no. 3, the issues are framed regarding the quantum of compensation. In view of the directions given in Form II of the new scheme of Motor Accident Claims formulated by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide judgment dated 08.01.2021 in FAO no. 842/2003, Rajesh Tyagi & others Vs. Jaibir Singh and others, **the following issues are framed :**

1. Whether the petitioner(s) is/are entitled for compensation, if so, to what extent and from whom? OPP
2. Relief.

Put up for PE on **09.12.2025**. The petitioner shall file the evidence by way of affidavit, list of witnesses and relevant documents on the next date of hearing and supply an advance copy of the same to Ld. Counsel(s) for the respondent(s) at least two weeks before the next date of hearing.

(Ruchika Singla)
Presiding Officer, MACT-01 (Central)
THC/Delhi/09.10.2025