

**IN THE COURT OF MONICA SHARMA,
JUDGE, SPECIAL COURT, AMRITSAR.
(UID NO.:PB00239)**

CNR No.
PBAS010148612026



Case No. BA/10394/2026

Presented on : 24-06-2026

Registered on : 25-06-2026

Decided on : 04-07-2026

Angrej Singh @ Khabba, s/o Tarsem Singh, r/o Village Lahorimal, PS
Gharinda (Rural), Amritsar.

.....Applicant/accused

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**(1st BAIL APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 483 OF THE
BHARATIYA NAGARIK SURAKSHA SANHITA, (BNSS),
2023)**

Present: Sh. Abhjit Singh Sandhu, Deputy Chief LADC,
for the applicant/accused.

Ms. Anju Sharma, Add. Public Prosecutor
for the State.

Assisted by ASI Joginder Singh, No. 1258/ASR,
PS Gharinda, Amritsar

ORDER:

1. The present order of mine shall dispose of application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, (BNSS), 2023 moved on behalf of applicant/accused in FIR No. 204 dated 16.05.2026, under Section 21/61/85 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Gharinda, Amritsar.

2. Notice of the bail application was served upon the State through learned Add. Public Prosecutor attached to this Court along with copy of bail application, who received the same, appeared and contested the bail application on merits. Police record was also summoned which has been received and perused. Today, ASI Joginder Singh, No. 1258/ASR, PS Gharinda, Amritsar has appeared along with police record and got recorded his statement to the effect that the present case has been registered against the applicant/accused, who was found in possession of 06 grams of 'heroin'. The applicant/accused was arrested on 16.05.2026 and since then he is in custody. He further stated that the report from the office of Regional Technical/Forensic Science Laboratory, (RTFSL) Amritsar is still awaited and challan has not been presented till date. As per record, 01 another FIR has been lying registered against the applicant/accused.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant/accused has submitted that applicant/accused is innocent persons and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating was recovered from his possession. The applicant/accused was arrested on 16.05.2026 and since then he is in custody. It is further submitted that presentation of challan and conclusion of trial would likely to take considerable time and no useful purpose would be served by detaining the applicant behind bars for indefinite period. With these submissions, learned counsel for the applicant/accused implored for grant of bail to the

applicant/accused.

4. In oppugnation, learned Add. Public Prosecutor for the State has strongly opposed the bail application while submitting that drug menace is on the rise and applicant/accused does not deserve concession of bail.

5. I have heard the rival submissions of learned Add. Public Prosecutor as well as Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused and have carefully perused the record with their able assistance.

6. In the present case, the applicant/accused was apprehended by the police on the basis of suspicion and from his possession 06 grams of 'heroin' was recovered. The applicant/accused is in custody since 16.05.2026. As per statement of ASI Joginder Singh, No. 1258/ASR, PS Gharinda, Amritsar, the report of chemical examination from the office of Regional Technical/Forensic Science Laboratory, (RTFSL) Amritsar has not yet been received. Although, 01 another FIR has been lying registered against the applicant/accused. So far as the registration of other cases is concerned, in CRM-M-25914-2022 titled as **"Baljinder Singh alias Rock Vs.State of Punjab"** **decided on 02.03.2023**, it has been held that *no doubt, at the time of granting bail, the criminal antecedents of the petitioner are to be looked into but at the same time it is equally true that the appreciation of evidence during the course of trial has to be look into with reference to the evidence in that case alone and not with respect to the evidence in the other pending cases*". The alleged recovery apparently falls within

intermediate quantity. Moreover, the presentation of challan and conclusion of trial are likely to take considerable time. As such, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the applicant/accused in custody for indefinite period. Rather, it would burden the State Exchequer.

7. In view of the above discussion, the present bail application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, (BNSS), 2023 filed by the applicant/accused stands **allowed**. He is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond in the sum of **Rs. 35,000/-** with one surety in like amount to the satisfaction of Ld. Illaqa / Duty Magistrate, subject to the following conditions :-

- A. *That the applicant shall appear before the Trial Court on each and every date of hearing.*
- B. *That the applicant shall not directly or indirectly induce or coerce any witness of prosecution so as to dissuade him from deposing as witness in the Court.*
- C. *That the applicant shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.*
- D. *The applicant shall not commit similar offence in future.*

8. Copy of this order be sent to the concerned Ld. Illaqa/Duty Magistrate with the directions that after acceptance of bail bonds/surety bonds, the same be sent to this Court/trial Court.

9. Further, in light of the order dated 31.01.2023 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, New Delhi in SMWP (Criminal) No.4/2021 of Point No.(1) mentioned at page No. 09, 'Ahlmad' concerned

is directed to send a soft copy of the bail order by e-mail to the prisoner/applicant through Jail Superintendent, forthwith. Police record be returned and the bail application be attached with the remand papers, if any, otherwise be consigned to the Record Room.

**Pronounced in open Court
on: 04.07.2026**

**(Monica Sharma)
Judge, Special Court,
Amritsar. UID No.(PB0239)**

Sahil, Stenographer Gr.II