

**IN THE COURT OF MANDIP SINGH DHILLON, ADDITIONAL
SESSIONS JUDGE, MANSA.**

Case Type	: BA (Bail Application)
CIS Case No.	: BA/1041/2022
CNR No.	: PBMN010030862022
Date of registration	: 10.08.2022
Date of Decision	: 26.08.2022

Sunita Dhawan aged about 51 years, wife of Vinod Dhawan resident of House No.2026, MIG Independent, Sec.70, Mohali, District SAS Nagar Mohali, now #1791, Sector 80, Sohana, District SAS Nagar (Mohali).

...Accused/applicant

Vs.

State of Punjab.

Bail application under section 438 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant in complaint case titled as State through Drug Inspector Vs. Mohit Jain etc., u/s 18(a)(i) punishable u/s 27(c) of the Drugs and Cosmetic Act.

Present: Sh. Bimaljit Singh, Advocate, Ld.counsel for applicant.
Sh. P.S. Chahal, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

ORDER:

1. Accused/ applicant Sunita Dhawan has filed the present bail application under section 438 Cr.PC for grant of anticipatory bail in complaint case titled as State through Drug Inspector Vs. Mohit Jain etc., u/s 18(a)(i) punishable u/s 27(c) of the Drugs and Cosmetic Act pending in the Court of Ld. CJM, Mansa. The court had summoned the applicant/accused under Section 18(a)(i) punishable u/s 27(c) of the Drugs and Cosmetic Act.

2. Brief facts of the complaint are that during the inspection by the complainant Gundeep Bansal, Drug Inspector, two samples were drawn and same were declared as “Not of Standard Quality”. Hence, present complaint was filed against the accused.

3. Since the complaint was filed by the government officer in his official capacity, the recording of preliminary evidence was dispensed by the Id. Trial court and accused/applicant Sunita Dhawan alongwith co-accused was ordered to be summoned to face trial under Section under Section 18(a)(i) punishable u/s 27(c) of the Drugs and Cosmetic Act.

4. It was argued on behalf of the applicant/accused that applicant/accused is not required for interrogation as this is a complaint case. The applicant is ready to join the proceedings. On these averments besides usual grounds, the accused/applicant was asked to be released on anticipatory bail.

5. The application has been opposed by the learned Addl. PP for the State.

6. I have heard the arguments of learned counsel for the applicant/accused, learned Addl. PP for the State and have gone through the police record with their able assistance.

7. Complaint under Section 18(a)(i) punishable u/s 27(c) of the Drugs and Cosmetic Act was filed by the Drug Inspector against the accused/applicant. Custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused is not required. Nothing is to be recovered from the applicant/accused. The presence of applicant/accused is required for trial purpose only. Without

commenting upon the merits of the case and keeping in view all these facts, applicant/accused Sunita Dhawan is directed to surrender before the learned Ilqa/ Duty Magistrate within seven days from today and in that event, applicant/accused shall be released on bail on furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of Ilqa/ Duty Magistrate. Copy of this order be sent to the learned Trial Court. Present application file be consigned to the Record Room after compilation.

Pronounced

Dated: 26.08.2022

**(Mandip Singh Dhillon),
Addl. Sessions Judge, Mansa.
(UID: PB0115)**