

**IN THE COURT OF THE II ADDL. JUNIOR CIVIL JUDGE-
CUM-VI ADDL. JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS,
MEDCHAL-MALKAJGIRI, UPPAL AT LB NAGAR.**

Present: **Smt. K. Sowjanya**
FAC II Addl. Junior Civil Judge-cum
VI Addl. Judicial Magistrate of First Class ,
Medchal-Malkajgiri District,
Uppal at LB Nagar.

Wednesday, the 08th day of October, 2025.

Original Suit No.1860 of 2022
(Old OS No.952 of 2020)

Between:

Panakanti Sejal,
D/o. Panakanti Santosh Rao,
Aged about 19 years,
Occ: Student,
R/o. Flat No.C-401, Nagarjuna Residency,
NCC Urban, Gachibowli,
Hyderabad-500 032

.....Plaintiff.

A N D

1. Kambham Sita Ram Reddy,
S/o. Late K. Kista Reddy,
Aged about 52 years, Occ: Business,
2. K Narayana Reddy,
S/o. Late Laxma Reddy,
Aged about 65 years, Occ: Business,
3. Kambham Sanjeeva Reddy,
S/o. Late K. Kista Reddy,
Aged about 48 years, Occ: Business,
4. Kambham Buchi Reddy,
S/o. Late K. Kista Reddy,
Aged about 46 years, Occ: Business,
5. Kambham Madhava Reddy,
S/o. Late K. Kista Reddy,
Aged about 44 years, Occ: Business,
6. Kambham Ram Reddy @ K. Bhaskar Reddy,
S/o. Late K. Kista Reddy,

Aged about 52 years, Occ: Business,

7. Kambham Narsimha Reddy,
S/o. Late K. Kista Reddy,
Aged about 50 years, Occ: Business,

8. K. Anji Reddy,
S/o. K. Narayana Reddy,
Aged about 46 years, Occ: Business,

9. K. Laxma Reddy,
S/o. K. Narayana Reddy,
Aged about 44 years, Occ: Business,

10. K. Dayakar Reddy,
S/o. K. Narayana Reddy,
Aged about 40 years, Occ: Business,

Sl.No.1 to 10 are R/o. H.No.7-117/A,
Sai Nagar Colony, Ghatkesar Village
and Mandal, R.R. District

11. Smt. Adama Vijaya Laxmi,
W/o. Bhoopal Reddy,
Aged about 49 years, Occ: House wife,
R/o. Ghatkesar Village and Mandal, R.R. District

12. K. Laxma Reddy, S/o. K. Seetharam Reddy,
Aged about 35 years, Occ: Business,
R/o. H.No.7-177/A, Sai nagar colony,
Ghatkesar Village and Mandal,
R.R. District

13. Smt. Y. Mary Usha Kiran,
W/o. Y. Jagadeshan,
Aged about 52 years, Occ: House wife,
R/o. 443/2, Railway Quarters,
North Lalaguda, Secunderabad

14. Smt. Mekala Anjamma,
W/o. Late M. Agaiah,
Aged about 65 years, Occ: House wife,
R/o. H.No.10-170, Ambedkar Nagar,
Ghatkesar Village and Mandal,
R.R. District

.....Defendants.

*This suit came before me for final hearing in the presence of **M/s. P. Srinath, Counsel for Plaintiff** and of **Sri. A. Raja Ranga Rao, Counsel for Defendants no.12 and 14** and of **Defendants no.1 to 11 and 13** were set **exparte** and upon having hearing the and on perusal of the material on record and the matter having stood over for consideration till this day, the court delivered the following:*

JUDGMENT

This is a suit filed by the plaintiff against the defendants no.1 to 14 to declare registered sale deed doc.no.11840/2003 dated 30-09-2003, registered sale deed doc.no.1937/2003 dated 25-02-2003 and registered sale deed doc.no.315/2012 dated 02-02-2012 as illegal sham, null and void and not binding on the plaintiff; and for grant of perpetual injunction restraining the defendants and their subordinates, agents, servants, nominees, assignees, men etc., claiming through them from interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the plaintiff over the suit schedule property i.e., all that piece and parcel of plots no.57 and 58 in Sy.No.181, admeasuring 400 sq.yards or 334.4 sq.mtrs situated at Ghatkesar Village and Grampanchayat within specified boundaries.

2. The case of the plaintiff, in brief, is that she is the absolute owner and possessor of suit schedule property having purchased the same through registered sale deed doc.no.4967/2001 dated 03-10-2001 for valuable sale consideration from its lawful owners and possessors Kambham Sita Ram Reddy and 9 others i.e., defendants no.1 to 10. Since the date of purchase the plaintiff has been in peaceful possession

and enjoyment of the said property. The plaintiff was minor as on the date of purchase of property, as such her father Panakanti Santosh Rao being her natural guardian had purchased the said property in the name of plaintiff with an intention that the same would be utilized in future for her education and as marriage gift. The plaintiff has been pursuing her studies and recently when the plaintiff and her parents intended to perform her marriage and so they went to the suit property to clear the same so as to protect it from land grabbers and installed fencing around the plots. During the cleaning process, on 01-11-2020 the defendants along with unsocial elements came to the suit property and stopped their work claiming the suit property. When the plaintiff and her family members questioned their authority, they have shown photocopies of sale deeds showing that they have purchased suit plots. Thereafter the plaintiff and her family members approached the Sub-Registrar Ghatkesar on 04-11-2020 and obtained certified copies of documents shown by the defendants. They were surprised to see that the defendants no.1 to 10 after selling plots no.57 and 58 to the plaintiff in the year 2001, have again sold plot no.57 in favor of defendant no.11 and plot no.58 in favor of defendant no.13, in the year 2003. Subsequently, the defendant no.1 represented by her GPA holder i.e., defendant no.12 had again sold plot no.57 in favor of defendant no.14. As a matter of fact, the defendants no.1 to 10 do not have any right, title or interest to sell the suit plots in the year 2003 which they have already sold to the plaintiff in the year 2001. The documents are

created by the defendants in collusion with each other and hence they have to be declared as null and void and not binding on the plaintiff. Further as the defendants with anti-social elements are interfering with the possession of the plaintiff over the suit plots, the defendants shall be restrained from doing such illegal acts. Hence the suit.

3. The defendants no.1 to 14 failed to appear before the court in spite of service of summons and hence defendants no.1 to 14 were set exparte.

4. PW1 is examined and Exs.A1 to A5 marked on behalf of the plaintiff.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the plaintiff.

6. Now the point for determination is

“Whether the suit can be decreed as prayed for”?

P O I N T:

7. The plaintiff as PW-1 has filed chief affidavit in lieu of her chief-examination wherein she has reiterated the averments of the plaint. She got marked Ex.A1 - certified copy of sale deed doc.no.4969/2001 dated 03-10-2001 showing that she purchased the suit property i.e., plots no.57 and 58 from defendants no.1 to 10. Exs.A2 and A3 are registered sale deeds executed by defendants no.1

to 10 in the year 2003 in favor of defendants no.11 and 13 respectively. Ex.A4 is the certified copy of registered sale deed executed by defendant no.11 in favor of defendant no.14 through the GPA holder defendant no.12. Ex.A5 - encumbrance certificate reflects these transactions. Apparently, the document through which the plaintiff purchased the suit plots is first in point of time, as such and also considering the fact that the defendants failed to appear before the court to challenge the case put forth by the plaintiff, it can be held that the sale deeds vide Exs.A2 to A4 shall be declared as null and void. Further in view of Ex.A1 sale deed, it is held that the plaintiff has proved her lawful possession over the suit property as on the date of filing of the suit. The oral evidence of PW1 reveals about the interference made by the defendants over the said lawful possession. The same draws support from execution of documents Exs.A2 to A4. For the above discussion, it is held that the plaintiff has established her case and therefore she is entitled for a decree as prayed for. The point is answered accordingly.

8. In the result, the suit is decreed in favor of plaintiff and against the defendants declaring the sale deeds doc.no.11840/2003, dated 30-09-2003, doc.no.1937/2003, dated 25-02-2003 and doc.no.315/2012, dated 02-02-2012 as null and void and not binding on the plaintiff. The suit is further decreed in favor of plaintiff granting perpetual injunction restraining the defendants, their subordinates,

agents, servants, nominees, assignees, men etc., claiming through them from interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the plaintiff over the suit schedule property.

*Typed to my dictation, corrected and pronounced by me in the open court on this the **08th** day of **October, 2025.***

**FAC II Addl. Junior Civil Judge -cum -
VI Addl. Judicial Magistrate of First Class
Medchal- Malkajgiri District,
Uppal at L.B.Nagar.**

APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE

WITNESSES EXAMINED

For plaintiff:

PW-1 : Panakanti Sejel

For defendants: None.

EXHIBITS MARKED

For plaintiff:

Ex.A1 : Certified copy of registered sale deed bearing doc.no.4969/2001 dated 03-10-2001

Ex.A2 : Certified copy of registered sale deed bearing doc.no.1937/2003 dated 25-02-2003

Ex.A3 : Certified copy of registered sale deed bearing doc.no.11840/2003 dated 30-09-2003

Ex.A4 : Certified copy of registered sale deed bearing doc.no.315/2012 dated 02-02-2012

Ex.A5 : Encumbrance certificate

For defendants: -Nil-

**FAC II Addl. Junior Civil Judge -cum -
VI Addl. Judicial Magistrate of First Class
Medchal- Malkajgiri District,
Uppal at L.B.Nagar.**