

**IN THE COURT OF MAHESH GROVER,
UID NO. PB-0243
JUDGE, SPECIAL COURT, BATHINDA.**

CNR No: PBBT01029692026

CIS No: BA-1109-2026

Date of Order: 11.03.2026

State Vs. Jagdip Singh alias Jaggi, son of Harbans
Singh, resident of village Neour, District
Bathinda.
...Accused.

FIR No.167 dated 06.07.2025
under Section: 15(c), 27, 29 of NDPS Act
Police Station: Canal Colony Bathinda

Bail application under Section 483 of BNSS

Present: Sh. Pankaj Soni, Advocate for applicant/accused Jagdip
Singh alias Jaggi.
Sh. D.S. Sra, Addl.PP for the State.

ORDER:

1. Vide this order, I will dispose of the bail application filed by
accused/applicant Jagdip Singh alias Jaggi under Section 483 of BNSS in
the afore mentioned case.

2. As per report of Criminal Ahlmad, this is the first bail
application of the bail applicant under Section 483 of BNSS and no such
bail application is pending in the Hon'ble High Court or any other court.

3. The facts in brief as are necessary for just & effective
disposal of the present bail application are that 06.07.2025, the bail
applicant alongwith co-accused namely Gurtej Singh alias Teji and
Shawar Lal were apprehended by the police party in the area of Ring

Road T Point under Flyover, Bathinda within the jurisdiction of Police Station Canal Colony, Bathinda and from their possession, 9 quintals of poppy husk was recovered, without any license or permit in this regard.

4. Notice of the bail application was given to the State and concerned police record was also summoned. No reply to the bail application was filed on behalf of State. However, the bail application has been strongly opposed by learned APP for the State.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant/accused as well as learned APP for the State and have perused the record.

6. Learned counsel for the accused argued that accused has been falsely implicated in this case and nothing has been recovered from him and that he is in custody since 06.07.2025 and as such he may be granted bail.

7. On the other hand, Learned APP for the State submitted that accused/applicant has committed a serious offence under NDPS Act and thus his bail application may be dismissed.

8. As discussed above, the perusal of the record reveals that 45 plastic sacks (gatte) containing 20 Kgs each of poppy husk (i.e. total 900 Kgs of poppy husk), have been allegedly recovered in the present case from the accused/applicant as well as his co-accused, without any permit or license. The said recovery falls within the ambit of commercial quantity under the NDPS Act. To the said circumstances/ allegations, in view of rigors of section 37 of NDPS Act, the applicant does not deserve the concession of bail as the recovered contraband falls within commercial quantity bracket and no exception as laid in section 37 of the

NDPS Act is made out in favour of the applicant at this stage. Mere length of custody of applicant cannot be considered as a ground to enlarge him on bail or even interim bail in such a serious case.

9. Consequently, in view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances on record, the present bail application of the applicants/accused is hereby dismissed. However, any observation made herein above shall not be construed as an opinion or expression of this court, affecting the merits of the case.

10. Papers be appended with the concerned challan.

Pronounced in the open Court.

Date of Order: 11.03.2026

Jaspal, Steno.Gr.I.

(Mahesh Grover)
Judge, Special Court, Bathinda
UID No.PB0243