

IN THE COURT OF SH. DALJIT SINGH RALHAN,
(UID No. PB0198) ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, MANSA.

File No.444 dated 27.08.2019.
Case No. BA /1102/ 2019
CNR No. PBMN010027442019
Date of order : 29.08.2019.

Rinku Singh aged about 24 years, son of Sukhdev Singh son o Jangir Singh, resident of V.P.O. Bakhora Kalan Tehsil Lehra District Sangrur.

--- Applicant/ accused

Versus

State of Punjab

FIR No. 76 dated 15.07.2019,
U/s 61/78/1/14 of Excise Act,
P.S. Bareta District Mansa.

Present: Sh. S.K. Garg, Adv., counsel for applicant.
Sh. D.S. Sran, Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State.

ORDER

This order of mine shall dispose of the application U/s 438 Cr.P.C filed by accused-applicant.

2. Accused/ applicant has alleged that he has falsely implicated in the present case. Nothing is to be recovered from the applicant/ accused. He is ready to join the investigation and prayed for anticipatory bail on this ground.

3. Notice of bail application was given to the Addl. PP for the State, who appeared and contested the bail application. Police record has

been summoned.

4. Ld. Counsel for accused/ applicant has pressed for bail on the ground that applicant/ accused is innocent person. Ld. Counsel for accused / applicant has further argued that nothing is to be recovered from accused/ applicant and as such bail may be granted to the accused / applicant.

5. On the other hand, ld. Addl. PP for the State has opposed the bail application and has pressed for dismissal of the bail application.

6. HC Baljit Singh No.881/Mansa appeared in the Court and suffered statement that HC Balwan Singh is investigating officer of this case. He has brought the record. FIR in the present case was registered against unknown person. Accused/ applicant has not been nominated in the present case till date and is not required till date.

7. In view of the statement of HC Baljit Singh, FIR in the present case is registered against unknown persons. Accused/applicant has not been nominated in the present case. He is not required in the present. Hence, there is no apprehension of arrest to the accused-applicant in the present case, as such, this court does not find any merit in the contention raised by learned counsel for the applicant-accused. Accordingly, the application seeking anticipatory bail stands dismissed. File be consigned to the record room.

Pronounced. (Daljit Singh Ralhan)(UID No. PB0198)

29.08.2019.
Avinash Kumar,
Stenographer-I

Addl. Sessions Judge, Mansa.

Rinku Singh Vs. State

Present: Sh. S.K. Garg, Adv., counsel for applicant.
Sh. D.S. Sran, Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State.

Arguments heard. Vide my separate detailed order
of even date, this bail application has been dismissed. File be
consigned to the record room.

Pronounced. (Daljit Singh Ralhan)(UID No. PB0198)

29.08.2019.
Avinash Kumar,
Stenographer-I

Addl. Sessions Judge, Mansa.