

Mukesh Kumar VS State of Haryana etc.

Present: Sh. Jaimal Singh Godara Advocate for the DH.
Sh. Mohit Singla, Government Pleader for JDs no.1 to 3.
Sh. Aman Dhillon, Advocate for JD no.4.
Sh. R.K. Bhadu Advocate for applicants.

Learned counsel for third party objectors has requested to stay the proceedings till his objections are not decided. Upon this, learned counsel for JD no.4 has stated orally in the Court that when they went to the disputed property for compliance of the decree, they conducted demarcation, upon which, it was found that the disputed property falls in the area of Gram Panchayat Mothsarai, whereas, the decree has been passed against the Gram Panchayat Mahal Sarai. Since all the disputed property falls in the Gram Panchayat Mod Sarai and the Gram Panchayat Mod Sarai was not a party in the civil suit. Therefore, they have requested for an adjournment to bring on record the written document regarding demarcation etc. Adjournment is requested. Heard. Allowed. Now, to come upon 20.08.2021 for producing written document of demarcation. JDs no.1 to 4 are directed to bring on record the document of demarcation to give the clear picture of the exact positioning of the disputed property, whether it falls in Gram Panchayat Mothsarai or Mahal Sarai.

Date of Order: 27.07.2021

Sat Paul Singh, SG-II.

(Sonia)
Civil Judge (Junior Division), Hisar
UID NO . HR00447