

Witness present and duly sworn on : 29-07-2025.

Cross Examination by Sri. SPK for R2 :

I was driving the car. The accident was a head on collision between the car and bus. The tar road is around 24 feet wide. The tar road of about 2 feet was there on the right side of the accident spot. I do not have any documents to show that the accident happened. The police are conducted mahazar, but have not registered FIR, they gave check report. They have imposed penalty of Rs.5,000/-. For a question what documents were taken from the police station witness states that her car was damaged. It is true to suggest that there are no documents in the police station to show the happening of the accident. It is true to suggest that Ex.P1 is the complaint given by me. The police have not given any endorsement for Ex.P1. It is false to suggest that the police have not taken any action on Ex.P.1. Witness states that we settled matter with bus. Ex.P1 was in my custody. I got the seal on Ex.P1 on the date of complaint. It is false to suggest that there is no connection between the damage of my car and alleged accident as stated by me. It is true to suggest that for the same reason the police have not taken any action on Ex.P.1.

The car is 2006 model. It was never subjected to any accident. There were no repairs. I do not know about the spare parts replacement at Mandovi motors before

accident. It is false to suggest that all the parts of the car were replaced. My car was insured. I intimated about the accident and damage to my insurance company. They have conducted survey and they stated that since the estimation was more than one lakh insurance cannot be given. I have the document about the intimation and refusal by the insurance company. I have produced these 2 documents to the court. I have always got my car service at Mandovi Motors. It is true to suggest that for the same reason they have given Ex.P2 estimation and invoice. It is true to suggest that I do not know which spare parts shown in Ex.P2 are installed to my car. Insurance surveyor came to showroom to assess the damages. The surveyor has prepared Ex.P9 on the basis of Ex.P1 and 2. I do not know about what is identified by the surveyor. It is false to suggest that I have claimed compensation from my insurance company for the damages of my car. I am not Pushpa but Pushpavati. Witness further states that I am also called as Pushpa. It is false to suggest Ex.P8 and 9 are not related to me. It is false to suggest that there was no accident in the manner stated by me. It is false to suggest that by misusing the self accident I am falsely deposing by filing false case against the bus. It is false to suggest that I have not made payment as shown in Ex.P6. It is false to suggest that I am falsely deposing before the court by filing false affidavit. It is false to suggest that the R2 is not liable

to pay any compensation.

Reexamination :- *NIL*

(Computerized as per my dictation in open court)
R.O.I.&.A.C.

PS CJ AND ACJM,
PUTTUR.