

**IN THE COURT OF RAJVINDER SINGH
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE
(FAST TRACK COURT)
AMRITSAR
(UID No.PB0184)**

CNR No:PBAS010024732026.
Date of institution:02.02.2026.
CIS No.BA/1072/2026.
Date of decision:11.02.2026.

Parminder Singh son of Sh.Hardev Singh, resident of village Khapar Kheri,
PS, Gharinda, Tehsil and District Amritsar.

.... Applicant/accused.

Versus

State of Punjab.

FIR No.08, dated 24.01.2026.
Under Sections 85/316(2) BNS.
PS, Women, Amritsar.

First Bail application under Section 482 BNSS

Present: Sh.Sahil Sachdeva, Advocate for applicant/accused.
Ms.Ramneet Kaur, Additional Public Prosecutor for State.
Sh.Sanjeev Bhagat, Advocate for complainant.

ORDER

Vide instant order, this Court shall dispose of bail application filed by applicant/accused under Section 482 BNSS seeking anticipatory bail in afore-mentioned FIR.

2. Notice of the bail application served upon the State. Police record produced. ASI-Avtar Singh produced his report.

3. In accordance with record, instant FIR stood registered at the instance of complaint dated 07.10.2025 lodged by complainant Navneet Kaur against applicant and others, whereby, it is reported that marriage of complainant was solemnized with applicant on 15.02.2020. At the time of solemnization of marriage, the parents of complainant gave dowry articles in accordance with their capacity. On consummation of their marriage, they

ere blessed with two children, who are in care and custody of complainant herself. Allegedly, the complainant was thrown out of her matrimonial house on 17.01.2023 but with the intervention of respectables, she was taken back to her matrimonial home. She has been allegedly physically and mentally tortured by the accused. The complainant has reiterated the various incidents vide which she was tortured and harassed while she was staying in her matrimonial home. She has further reported that on the night of 05.10.2025, she was mercilessly beaten by the accused on the demand of dowry. She was rescued by her parents and taken to Civil Hospital, Amritsar, where she was medically examined, on the basis of which, DDR no.16/16.10.2025 was entered. The complaint so lodged by the complainant was duly inquired into. During the course of inquiry the details of dowry articles including jewelery articles was submitted.

4. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for applicant has submitted that the applicant is innocent. He has been falsely implicated in the case in hand. Applicant has not committed any such offence as reported by the complainant. The applicant did not raise demand of any dowry. The complainant herself left her matrimonial house and did not come back despite best efforts of the applicant. Accordingly, it is submitted that applicant is ready and willing to join investigation. Hence, he has prayed for grant of interim bail to the applicant.

On the other hand, the prayer of the applicant is resisted by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State in assistance with the counsel for complainant. They have drawn the attention of the court towards the photographs as well as MLR of the complainant. It is further submitted

that the recovery of dowry articles including jewelery is yet to be effected. On account of the same custodial interrogation of the applicant is material. Accordingly, they have prayed for dismissal of the bail application.

5. Heard. It is appropriate to mention here that during the course of inquiry, the applicant/accused appeared before the Inquiry Officer and submitted his typed statement alleging that the complainant did not use to respect his parents. As far as incident dated 05.10.2025 is concerned, he has alleged that it is the complainant, who has slapped their sons. Further, it is not in dispute that both the sons of complainant are residing with her. He further apprehended threat from the complainant and his in-laws. He has informed that he has filed petition under Section 13 of HMA seeking dissolution of their marriage. He has also pleaded that the allegations of misappropriation of dowry articles leveled against him and his parents are false.

During the course arguments, learned counsel for applicant was specifically asked about the willingness of the applicant for recovery of dowry articles in accordance with the photographs attached with the police record. He has stated that the applicant has already handed over dowry articles in accordance with memo dated 29.01.2026. However, perusal of the list does not reveal return of jewellery articles. Furthermore, although FIR has been registered under Section 85/316(2) BNS, however, in accordance with the physical abuse of the complainant, reported vide DDR no.16/16.10.2025, requisite offences are yet to be added. In the aforementioned backdrop keeping in view the conduct of the applicant, who had manhandled the complainant and further is not ready and willing to get

recovered remaining dowry articles including gold jewelery, this court is of the considered opinion that no purpose would be served by directing the applicant to join the investigation. The custodial interrogation of the applicant is material for just conclusion of the investigation as well as for effecting recovery. Hence, bail application in hand stands dismissed. Police record be returned. Bail application file be consigned to record room.

Pronounced.
Dated:11.02.2026.

Gurpreet Kaur, Steno Gd-II

Rajvinder Singh,
Additional Sessions Judge,
(Fast Track Court)
Amritsar.
(UID No.PB0184)