

39 Ct. Cases / 1605/2025
Neelesh Sagar Vs. INDUS TOWER LTD
(Ranhola)

23.04.2026

Present : Ms. Anjali Rana, Ld. Counsel for complainant alongwith complainant.

In the present case complaint has alleged various offences against accused. It is stated that accused has forcibly entered the house and broke open the locks. In the present case the photographs of locks being broken are not placed on record.

The medical of none of the witness/complainant has been placed on record to prove the allegation of assault.

Apparently, as per report of IO there was agreement with the accused for free ingress and outgress. The agreement is dated 07.03.2018. It appears that the dispute between the parties is civil in nature.

Considering the fact and circumstances, the identity of the accused as well as of the witnesses is known to the complainant. It appears that no scientific or technical investigation is required at this stage and if so required, recourse is there of Section 202 Cr.P.C. The complainant has the evidence within its reach and can summon the witnesses, who may depose in their favour.

Accordingly, application u/S 175 (3) of BNSS stands dismissed.

Issue notice to respondents as per Section 223 of BNSS through IO / SHO.

Put up for pre-summoning evidence on 21.09.2026.

Ankit Karan Singh
JMFC-08/WEST/THC
23.04.2026