

Surjeet Singh Vs. Punjab State
2023

UID No.PB0208
CNR No.PBRO01-004365-

CIS No.BA/1077/2023

PBRO010043652023



Presented on : 23-08-2023
Registered on : 23-08-2023
Decided on : 28-08-2023
Duration : 0 years, 0 months, 5 days

**IN THE COURT OF MOHIT BANSAL
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RUPNAGAR
(UID No.PB0208)**

Surjeet Singh Vs. Punjab State.

F.I.R No.62 dated 18.05.2023
Under Sections: 454, 380, 411 IPC
Police Station: Sri Anandpur Sahib.

Present: Sh. Mohit K. Dhupar Advocate, Ld. Counsel for applicant
Surjeet Singh.
Sh. Dhanesh Kumar, Ld. Addl. PP for the State along with ASI
J.N Saini No.983/R, Police Station Sri Anandpur Sahib.

ORDER

1. Notice of the bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C was given to the State for today. Reply to the bail application has not been filed. Police record has been produced by ASI J.N Saini No.983/R, Police Station Sri Anandpur Sahib.

2. The instant bail application under Section 438 Cr.P.C, has been filed on behalf of the applicant Surjeet Singh on the ground that the applicant is innocent and has committed no offence. The police have lodged false and frivolous case against the applicant. The applicant is not involved in any illegal act. The applicant is ready to join the

investigation. With these averments a prayer for allowing the instant bail application, has been made.

3. The Learned Counsel for the applicant has submitted that the complainant has not seen the applicant stealing the money. The applicant is innocent and therefore, instant bail application may kindly be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned APP for the State has submitted that Rs.30,000/- were stolen from the house of the complainant Ram Tej. Bhagat Singh accused was arrested in the present FIR on 18.05.2022 and Rs.22,200/- were recovered from his possession. He has made a disclosure statement that he (Bhagat Singh) and Surjeet Singh applicant herein, have committed theft together. The Learned APP has submitted that remaining amount is yet to be recovered, therefore, instant bail application may be dismissed.

5. I have heard the rival submissions and perused the record carefully.

6. The FIR in the present case was lodged on the application moved by Ram Tej complainant who has stated that Rs.30,000/- were stolen from his house. Rs.22,200/- have been recovered from the accused Bhagat Singh. The applicant Surjeet Singh has been nominated in the present FIR on the basis of disclosure statement of Bhagat Singh co-accused. The remaining amount is yet to be recovered. Therefore, I do

Surjeet Singh Vs. Punjab State
2023

UID No.PB0208
CNR No.PBRO01-004365-

CIS No.BA/1077/2023

not deem it fit to allow the instant bail application at this stage and the same is hereby dismissed accordingly.

7. Police record be returned. Bail application be consigned to the record room, Rupnagar.

Pronounced in the Open Court
Dated: 28.08.2023
Anis Ahmed STG-II

(Mohit Bansal)
UID NO.PB0208
Addl. Sessions Judge,
Rupnagar.