

**IN THE COURT OF THE V ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
JUDGE, D.K. MANGALURU, SITTING AT PUTTUR, D.K.**

Spl.C. No.5020/2021

PW-2 CW-2

Name of the witness : Vanitha

Husband name : Jayakumar

Age : 40 years

Occupation : Coolie

Residence : Subramanya, Kadaba Taluk.

Duly sworn on 19-08-2026.

Examination-in-Chief by the Public Prosecutor:

CW-1 is my daughter. CW-3 is son of sister of my husband, CW-4 is my son. CW-5 is my younger brother. I know the accused who is present before the court. Accused is from my village. I belongs SC Mugera community. Accused belongs to Gowda community. Accused knows that I belong to SC community. There is no galata between CW-1 and Accused. I do not know anything about galata. The police have enquired me and I have not given any statement to them.

(At the stage, the L.P.P seeks permission of the court to treat this witness as hostile. Permission is granted to cross examine the witness.)

Cross Examination by Learned Public Prosecutor:

2. It is false suggest that, on 15.11.2020, when I came to my home in the afternoon, CW-1 was at my house and she has informed me that at about 8.30 am, when she was at our house situated at

Devarugadde, accused has trespassed the court yard of our house, the accused has informed CW-1 by stating that CW-5 has left his mobile phone in his auto, when CW-1 came to steps of our house, the accused informed CW-1 that he will give the mobile phone inside of our house and CW-1 stood at the steps. It is false to suggest that, CW-1 has also informed me that, when accused has asked for Betel Leave, CW-1 has informed the accused that we are not having betel leave and at that time, the accused has applied his hand on chest of CW-1 and outraged her modesty. It is false to suggest that, at that time, CW-1 has also informed me that she got screamed and meanwhile, the accused has thrown the mobile phone and left the spot.

3. It is false to suggest that, on 14-12-2020, police have enquired me and I have given statement to the police. The statement is marked as Ex.P5. It is false to suggest that, though accused has committed offences against my daughter, I have given statement as per Ex.P5, as accused is from my village, I am deposing falsely before the court in order to help the accused.

Cross Examination by Sri. B.N.P., for accused :

The counsel for accused submits that he has no cross examination.

Re-Examination: Nil.

(Typed to my dictation in open Court as per the deposition of witness.)

R.O. I. & A.C.

V Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
D.K. Mangaluru sitting at Puttur, D.K.

