

27 M A C T 510/24
MAHESH Vs. SANDEEP

12.02.2026

Present: Sh. Yogesh Valecha and Sh. Ashirwad, Ld. counsels for petitioner.

Dr. A. P. Singh, Ld. counsel for R1 with R1 in person.

Sh. S. R. Prashar, Ld. counsel for R2/registered owner.

Sh. Ajay Kumar, Ld. counsel for Baba Motors in application u/o 1 Rule 10 CPC filed by R2.

Sh. Vishal Kalra, Ld. counsel for Juneja Motors and Gaurav in application u/o 1 Rule 10 CPC filed by R2 (through VC).

Sh. Vikas, Ld. counsel for Bablu in application u/o 1 Rule 10 CPC filed by R2.

Witness namely Sh. Roshan Kumar Mishra, Proprietor, R. K. Associates in person.

It appears that summons were issued to some other party against u/o 1 Rule 10 CPC have not made any prayer for impleading them as respondent. Therefore, Bablu and Naseeb Kumar who are inadvertently summoned on the basis of documents filed on record are discharged.

Ld. counsel for Baba Motors i.e. proposed R3 has filed its reply to the application u/o 1 Rule 10 CPC. The petitioner is also filed reply to said application. However, Ld.

counsels for proposed R4 and R5 seeks one week time to file reply of application. Time granted.

It is submitted by Ld. counsels that MACT No.513/24 is of the same accident, therefore, these applications be also read in MACT No.513/24.

The proposed R4 and R5 are directed to file the reply with advance copy to petitioner and R2 on NDOH.

At request of Ld. counsel for petitioner, the witness examined today is discharged unexamined. He is bound down for NDOH.

List for disposal of application u/o 1 Rule 10 CPC on 28.02.2026.

(Vikram)
DJ-1+ MACT, N/W, Rohini Courts,
12.02.2026