

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Special Case: 143/2022

PW: 18 (CW: 32)

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 09.07.2026

Deposition of	:	Vijay Kumar Rai
Father/Husband Name	:	Seetharama Rai
Age	:	38 years
Occupation	:	HC-301, Punjalkatte P.S.
Resident Of	:	Punjalkatte.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

1. From June 2021 to May 2026, I had worked in CPI Office, Belthangady.

2. I assisted IO in this case.

3. On 25.02.2022, I went along with IO to Wenlock Government Hospital, Mangaluru. The IO conducted Inquest mahazar on the dead body between 2.30 and 5.00 p.m with the assistance of panchaas Harish, Suresh and Rajaneesh. I computerized Inquest mahazar in the Laptop, took printout from Epson printer and handed over Inquest mahazar to IO. I now see Inquest Mahazar Ex.P19.

4. On 26.02.2022, I went along with IO to spot situate in front of Rama Mandira and Vaishnavi comfort lodge. PW.3-Suhas showed spot. The IO prepared mahazar with the assistance of PW.9 and 17 between 8.00 and 9.05 a.m. I now see Mahazar Ex.P6, it bears my signature. Signature of witness is marked as Ex.P6(d). While conducting mahazar IO also prepared spot sketch. I computerized Spot mahazar in the Laptop, took printout from Epson printer and handed over Spot mahazar to IO.

5. On the same day, in the afternoon Dharmasthala PSI and staffs produced the accused before the IO. After interrogation, the IO prepared arrest mahazar of the accused with the assistance of panchaas Abdul Azeez and Abdul Nasir. I computerized arrest mahazar in CPI office, Belthangady, took printout of the mahazar. I now see Mahazar Ex.P25, it bears my signature. Signature of witness is marked as Ex.P25(c).

6. I do not remember date, I took PWD Engineer to the spot and showed spot. PWD Engineer prepared spot sketch in my presence.

7. I can identify the accused. Witness identifies the accused.

Cross-examination by Sri. BJK Advocate for Accused:-

8. Laptop was provided by the Department. It is false to suggest that spot mahazar was prepared in the police station. It is false to suggest that PW.3-Suhas did not show the spot.

9. The IO issued requisition to the PWD. I took PWD Engineer from Belthangady to spot. I have not affixed my signature on the sketch prepared by PWD Engineer. It is false to suggest that PWD Engineer prepared sketch in his office based on the information provided by me, hence my signature was not taken on the sketch. It is false to suggest that I am falsely deposing IO had prepared draft sketch on the spot. It is false to suggest that Ex.P6 and 7 were prepared in the police station. It is false to suggest that since I was not present on the spot, I did not sign on Ex.P7.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.