

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Special Case: 157/2020

PW: 31 (CW: 38)

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 13.01.2026

Deposition of	:	Thirupathi
Father/Husband Name	:	Babu
Age	:	31 years
Occupation	:	PC-880 of Mangaluru Rural P.S.
Resident Of	:	Mangaluru.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

1. From 2015 to 2022, I had worked in Bajpe P.S.

2. On 31.05.2020, I went to A.J. Hospital along with IO. IO prepared Inquest mahazar on the body of Keerthi. On the instructions of IO I took photographs in my mobile while conducting Inquest. IO deputed me to complete PME process and handover body to relatives. On 01.06.2020, morning PME was conducted. After PME I handed over body to relatives of deceased. Medical Officer, who conducted PME handed over clothes of Keerthi. I handed over clothes to IO. IO prepared mahazar and seized clothes of deceased. I now see Mahazar Ex.P65, it bears my signature. Signature of witness is marked

as Ex.P65(b). I can identify clothes. Witness identifies clothes MO.12 to 15.

3. On 02.06.2020, on the direction of IO, I took photographs of seized vehicles bearing Reg.No.KA-19-ES-9935, KA-19-EK-2616 and KA-19-HD-2736 in my android mobile. I took printout of photographs which were taken while conducting Inquest and on 02.06.2020, in Karavali photo studio. I handed over photographs to IO along with 65B certificate. I now see photographs of Inquest Mahazar Ex.P19. I now see photographs of vehicles Ex.P14, 16 and 72. Accordingly I issued 65B certificate. I now see 65B certificate, it bears my signature. The same are marked as Ex.P74 and 74(a).

Cross-examination by Smt. SA Advocate for Accused Nos.1, 3 to 5 and 7:-

4. It is false to suggest that IO did not depute me to get PME conducted through medical officer. It is false to suggest that Medical Officer did not conduct PME in my presence. It is false to suggest that Medical officer did not handover clothes of deceased, in-turn I did not handover the clothes to IO. It is false to suggest that Ex.P65 was not prepared in my presence. It is false to suggest that on the direction of IO, I signed on Ex.P65. It is false to suggest that I did not take photographs while conducting Inquest mahazar and did not take

photographs of three vehicles. It is false to suggest that I did not take printout of photographs in studio and did not handover photographs to IO.

5. It is false to suggest that on the instructions of Higher Officers, I am deposing false.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.