

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Special Case: 157/2020

PW: 12

Witness recalled, present and Duly Sworn on: 11.12.2025

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

6. Two months after discharge from the hospital, the police called me to police station and showed photographs of some persons.

7. The Tahsildar did not issue any notice to me, calling me to District Jail, Mangaluru. Ex.P50 (Notice issued by Tahsildar to appear for TIP in Jail) is shown to witness, he identifies his signature. Signature is marked as Ex.P50(b).

8. I visited Jail, I do not remember date. In the Jail as per the photo showed by police, I identified Suhas Shetty, Deepesh and three others, I do not other three names. Thereafter my signatures were taken on papers in the Jail. Ex.P54, 56, 58, 60, 62 and 64 are shown to witness, he admits his signature and states in Jail on that day he made signature. Signature of witness is marked as Ex.P54(b), 56(b), 58(b), 60(b), 60(b), and 64(b).

(at this stage, at the request of Public Prosecutor this

witness has been treated as partly hostile and permission is accorded for cross examination)

Cross-examination by Public Prosecutor:-

9. It is false to suggest that on 24.08.2020, through police Tahsildar issued notice to me and Maneesh Jogi asking us to present in District Jail on 31.08.2020 at 3.30 p.m. to identify assailants, as per Ex.p50.

10. It is false to suggest that on 31.08.2020, I and Maneesh Jogi went to District Jail, Mangaluru to identify assailants. It is false to suggest that in the first round Suhas Shetty and Nine non-suspected persons were standing, I identified Suhas Shetty at Sl.No.8, accordingly, the same was noted down on Ex.P54 and my signature Ex.P54(b) was taken.

11. It is false to suggest that in the second round Prashanth @ Pacchu and Nine non-suspected persons were standing, I identified Prashanth @ Pacchu at Sl.No.3, accordingly, the same was noted down on Ex.P56 and my signature Ex.P56(b) was taken.

12. It is false to suggest that in the third round Deepesh @ Deepu and Nine non-suspected persons were standing, I identified Deepesh @ Deepu at Sl.No.5, accordingly, the same was noted down on Ex.P58 and my signature Ex.P58(b) was taken.

13. It is false to suggest that in the fourth round Mokshith and Nine non-suspected persons were standing, I did not identify Mokshith, accordingly, the same was noted down on Ex.P60 and my signature Ex.P60(b) was taken.

14. It is false to suggest that in the fifth round Dhanraj and Nine non-suspected persons were standing, I identified Dhanraj at Sl.No.7, accordingly, the same was noted down on Ex.P62 and my signature Ex.P62(b) was taken.

15. It is false to suggest that in the Sixth round Megharaj and Nine non-suspected persons were standing, I identified Megharaj at Sl.No.8, accordingly, the same was noted down on Ex.P64 and my signature Ex.P64(b) was taken.

16. It is false to suggest that the police did not call me before conducting TIP to the police station and did not show photographs of Suhas Shetty and Deepesh.

17. It is false to suggest that though I had identified Five accused persons in the Jail by my own, to help the accused persons, I am deposing false.

Cross-examination by Smt. SA Advocate for Accused

Nos.1, 3 to 5 and 7:-

Submits no cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.