

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Sessions Case: 66/2020

PW: 5 (CW: 10)

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 10.03.2026

Deposition of	:	Mohammad Siraj
Father/Husband Name	:	K. Rehaman
Age	:	49 years
Occupation	:	Driver
Resident Of	:	Parangipete.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

1. I know PW.3-Ismail, he was my partner.

2. I and PW.3 used to purchase second hand vehicles in auction. In the month of October 2016, PW.3 had purchased two vehicles in the auction held by Shriram Finance. The said vehicles were Qualis and Chaverlet. The said vehicles were kept near house of PW.3 for sale. Then Qualis vehicle was taken to garage for repair. Thereafter I do not know what happened to the Qualis vehicle. I do not remember name of purchaser, but I have taken money and gave vehicle and paid the said amount to the PW.3. I now see notarized copy of Delivery note, it bears my signature. The same is marked as

Ex.P8. I can identify Qualis vehicle. Witness identifies vehicle in Ex.P2 photographs.

3. I know Accused Irshad as I have seen him.

(at this stage, at the request of Public Prosecutor this witness has been treated as partly hostile and permission is accorded for cross examination)

Cross-examination by Public Prosecutor:-

4. It is true Qualis vehicle was sold to Irshad for total consideration of Rs.1,10,000/- out of which Rs.60,000/- was received and agreed to receive remaining consideration after transferring documents in RTO, since, the documents could not be transferred, though I called him to give back the vehicle, he agreed to handover vehicle within 2 days, he did not hand over the vehicle, when I called him, his mobile was switched of.

5. It is true the police called PW.2 to the police station, then the police called me to the police station. It is true I came to know in the police station qualis vehicle was held by police for illegally transportation of cattle. Ismail handed over delivery note to the police.

6. It is false to suggest that I have sold Qualis vehicle to Irshad Accused No.1 who present before Court.

Cross-examination by Sri. RKA Advocate for Accused Nos.1 and 2:-

7. PW.3-Ismail purchased Qualis car under auction from Shriram Finance. R.C. was not transferred to the name of Ismail. The vehicle never transferred to my name. The original documents pertaining to vehicle were not with us. Contents of Ex.P8 were not written by me. Ex.P8 does not bear my signature. Since Ex.P8 was not given to me, I do not know contents of Ex.P8. I do not have personal knowledge why Qualis vehicle was seized by the police.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.