

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Special Case: 113/2023

PW: 12 (CW: 16)

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 30.07.2026

Deposition of	:	Muttappa
Father/Husband Name	:	Yamunappa
Age	:	36 years
Occupation	:	PSI, PTC Kalburgi
Resident Of	:	Kalburgi.

Witness present through V.C. On enquiry, it is revealed Abhishek is the Co-ordinator. From here Bench Clerk is the Co-ordinator. From the witness side it is confirmed, the audio and video are clearly audible and visible to him before recording the evidence.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

1. From December 2022 to September 2025, I had worked as PC in Bantwala Rural P.S.

2. I assisted IO in this case.

3. PSI deputed me to assist PW.11 to conduct investigation. On 04.01.2023, at 11.30 a.m, I went to spot. I took two panchaas PW.5 and CW.6 to the spot. PW.11-IO came to spot. PW.11 prepared spot mahazar. On the directions of PW.11, I took photographs in camera provided by the Department. I took camera to photo studio B.C. Road, took

printout of photos. I handed over photos, CD and 65B certificate to IO. I now see photographs Ex.P4 and P5. I now see CD Ex.P26. I now see 65B certificate Ex.P27, it bears my signature. Signature of witness is marked as Ex.P27(a).

Cross-examination by Sri. KRU for Accused Nos.1 and 2:-

4. The houses of panchaas is in a distance of 50mtrs from the spot. Panchaas came to spot along with complainant before we went to the spot. I do not know in my presence if IO enquired neighboring witnesses. Spot is house of complainant. I do not know who is the owner of adjacent house of complainant. PW.11 prepared mahazar. There were 6 persons present on the spot. I have made an entry in register before taking camera from the police station. Camera was SONY company made. I have mentioned camera details in 65B certificate.

5. It is false to suggest that I did not visit spot, IO did not prepare mahazar, I did not take photographs while conducting mahazar as deposed by me. It is false to suggest that mahazar was prepared in the police station. It is false to suggest that photographs were created for the purpose of the case.

Cross-examination by Smt. NK for Accused Nos. 3 to 7, 9 and 10:-

Adopts aforesaid cross-examination.

Cross-examination by Sri UKY Advocate for Accused No.8:

Adopts aforesaid cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.