

2 M A C T 340/19 PREETI GUPTA Vs. TARUN AGGARWAL

23.08.2024

Pr: Mr. Manish Bansal, Ld. Counsel for petitioner.
Mr. Chaitnya Jain, Ld. Counsel for insurance company/R3.
None for R1 and R2.

It is stated by counsel for R3 that all the bills as filed by the petitioner has been verified. However, it is stated by Ld. Counsel for petitioner that due to injuries sustained in the case accident, the petitioner is regularly under treatment.

As per disability certificate, petitioner has sustained 100% permanent disability in relation to lower limbs and bladder.

It is stated by counsel for petitioner that permanent attendant has been hired by the petitioner and they have filed documents pertaining to the same. Therefore, while making the revised legal offer, insurance company is directed to take into consideration the said aspect also as to the expenditure towards attendant as well as future treatment.

Copy of order be given dasti to counsel for R3 as well as petitioner.

Put up the matter in pre-lok adalat sitting on 11.09.2024.

(Shama Gupta)
PO MACT (N/W)
Rohini Courts: Delhi
23.08.2024