

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Sessions Case: 88/2021

PW: 24 (CW: 43)

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 14.01.2026

Deposition of	:	Prakash G
Father/Husband Name	:	Gangadharaiah B.K.
Age	:	43 years
Occupation	:	Legal Manager in Bharath Pay.
Resident Of	:	Bangalore.

Witness present through V.C. On enquiry, it is revealed Sandesh is the Co-ordinator. From here Bench Clerk is the Co-ordinator. From the witness side it is confirmed, the audio and video are clearly audible and visible to him before recording the evidence.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

1. From August 2016 to Febryary 2022, I had worked as Alternate Nodal Officer in Vodafone-Idea Ltd. Based on the records, I am deposing.

2. Our office received a requisition on 19.05.2021 from SP, Dakshina Kannada requesting to furnish call details of mobile number 7026226367, for the period from 01.01.2021 to 28.02.2021 pertaining to crime No.22/2021 of Bantwala Rural P.S. Under the said requisition Customer Application

Form of the aforesaid mobile number was also sought.

3. I had verified with our records with respect to CAF and Call Details of aforesaid mobile number. As per our records the SIM card was issued by Vodafone. I generated data of CAF and CDR details of the mobile number for the period from 01.01.2021 to 28.02.2021. I sent aforesaid details with 65B certificate to the police on 27.08.2021.

4. The CAF in respect of Mobile No.7026226367 is in the name of Sathish Nayak S/o. Ramachandra Nayak. SIM card was issued by adopting EKYC procedure based on Aadhar card. I now see CAF. The same is marked as Ex.P42. I now see CDR for the aforesaid mobile number for the aforesaid period and contains 9 pages. The same is now marked as Ex.P43. I now see 65B certificate, it bears signature my signature. The same are marked as Ex.P44 and 44(a).

Cross-examination by Smt. AN for Accused No.3:-

5. I have not enclosed copy of Aadhar card or other ID proof of the customer along with my certificate. It is false to suggest that we have not issued SIM card in the name of Sathish Nayak. It is false to suggest that at the behest of police we have furnished CAF, CDR and 65B certificate. It is false to suggest that without proper identification document, we have issued SIM card to the customer. Witness states based on OTP

sent to the customer on production of Aadhar card, we issue SIM card after verification of OTP.

Cross-examination by Sri. VRA Advocate for accused Nos.1 and 2:-

submits no cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.