

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Sessions Case: 71/2021

PW: 3 (CW: 6)

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 20.01.2026

Deposition of	:	Dr. Mahabalesh Shetty
Father/Husband Name	:	Narasimha Shetty
Age	:	55 years
Occupation	:	Medico Legal Consultant, Netaji Yallappa Hospital.
Resident Of	:	Mangaluru.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

1. Since 20 years, I have been working as Medical officer.

2. On 13.03.2015, at 9.00 p.m., Kamalaksha, Rajendra B and Mohan L came to our hospital with a alleged history of stone pelting by mob at Thokkottu Bus stand on 13.03.2025 at 8.30 p.m. Since all the injured came to hospital with a history of alleged stone pelting, I registered MLC and send an MLC intimation to concerned police. I now see MLC intimations of Three injured. The same are marked as Ex.P4 to 6.

3. I examined Rajendra B at 9.00 p.m. the injured and

found, 1) Boggy swelling on the back of the head. 2) Tenderness on the back of the neck. 3) Contusion over the back of the neck. . The aforesaid injuries were fresh in nature. I treated the injured. Accordingly I issued wound certificate. I know see the wound certificate, it bears my signature. The same are marked as Ex.P7 and 7(a). According to me injuries are fresh and simple in nature.

4. I examined another injured Mohan L and found, 1) Contusion on the right shoulder. 2) Tenderness over the back. 3) Tenderness over back of the head. The aforesaid injuries were fresh in nature. I treated the injured. Accordingly I issued wound certificate. I know see the wound certificate, it bears my signature. The same are marked as Ex.P8 and 8(a). According to me injuries are fresh and simple in nature.

6. I examined another injured Kamalaksha and found, 1) Contusion over the right dorsal aspect measuring 4cm x 3cm. 2) Contusion on the left leg-calf. The aforesaid injuries were fresh in nature. I treated the injured. Accordingly I issued wound certificate. I know see the wound certificate, it bears my signature. The same are marked as Ex.P9 and 9(a). According to me injuries are fresh and simple in nature.

7. The injuries mentioned in wound certificates are possible by use of stones. MO.1 to 4 are shown to witness.

After going through MO.1 to 4 witness states injuries mentioned in wound certificate could be possible by MO.1 to 4.

Cross-examination by Sri. NB Advocate for Accused Nos.1 to 4, 10, 11 and 12:-

8. I have not mentioned colour of injuries. I have not mentioned age of injuries but I have mentioned injuries as fresh in nature. The injured have not stated names of assailants. Witness states injured told stones were pelted by mob. It is false to suggest that injuries mentioned in wound certificate are possible if a person falls on rough surface. It is false to suggest that if MO.1 to 4 are thrown the injuries mentioned in wound certificate are not possible. I have not examined MO.1 to 4 and gave opinion. I took 5 minutes for examination of each patient. In Ex.P4 to 6 my signature is not forthcoming. Ex.P4 to 6 were sent on my behalf. I can say who sent Ex.P4 to 6 to police station.

9. It is false to suggest that on the influence made by police I have issued wound certificate.

Cross-examination by Sri. BAB Advocate for Accused Nos.5 and 7:-

10. Tenderness is pain on touching the part of the body. Tenderness cannot be seen, witness states it is felt as stated

by the victim. If anyone acted complaining pain, the same can be also treated as tenderness. It is false to suggest that patients have given false information to us though they have not sustained any injuries.

Adopts remaining cross-examination of NB.

Cross-examination by Sri. MK Advocate for Accused No.8:-

Adopts aforesaid cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.