

Witness duly sworn on 30.08.2025

Further Cross Examination by Sri.PKB Advocate for plaintiff:

43. Defendant No.1 and Bajaj Alliance General Insurance were parties before the state consumer forum. I do not recollect the case number now. Canara Bank is also a party before the state consumer forum. I do not know the stage of the case. We have asked for claim on the basis of the policy. We have not claimed any relief against Canara Bank and Canara Bank was only a agent. We have not made any allegation against Canara Bank. We have not alleged any fault against Canara Bank. I know the contents of Ex.P.2 legal notice. I have given instructions to our lawyer as per paragraph 3 of Ex.P.2.

44. We have not instituted any case claiming damages against the plaintiff in the past. We are not having any say with regard to type of storage area obtained by the plaintiff. We are aware about the consequences of storing fly ash in open unpaved area.

45. I am not aware as to whether the license of plaintiff was not renewed due to the non payment of amount to the port arising out of the impugned transactions. I am not aware as to whether the bank guarantee of plaintiff was encashed by the port. Canara Bank has filed suit against us in Mangaluru Court. I am not aware about SC No.192/2022 on the file of Prl. Senior Civil Judge, Mangaluru. It was regarding C Form under VAT. It was ordered that we have to pay Rs.90,000/- towards decree amount.

46. It is false to suggest that, many cases are instituted against our company claiming amount. It is false to suggest that, we are leading false evidence.

Re-Examination: Nil

(Typed to my dictation in the open court.)

R.O.I. & A.C.,

**I Addl. District & Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.**