

Ex. No.1870/2026

RUPESH VS. MCD

27.07.2026

Fresh execution petition received on assignment. It be checked & registered.

Present: Ms. Binita Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for DH
(appearing through VC).

Heard. Perused.

At this stage, this court has brought to the knowledge of the Ld. counsel for the decree holder of Section 11(10) of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 which provides that Order or Award of Ld. Labour Court or Tribunal court has to be transmitted to the Civil Court having jurisdiction by the Ld. Labour Court or Ld. Tribunal Court which passed the order or award before the same could be executed as a decree of civil court as provided Section 11(9) of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947. Further, evidently in the present case, the execution has not been transmitted by by the Ld. Labour Court which passed the order and in such circumstances, this court is of the prima facie view that the present execution which has been filed directly before this court without having been transmitted by the ld. Labour Court is not maintainable as such for want of compliance of Section 11(10) of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947.

In response to observation of this court, Ld. counsel for the the decree holder seeks adjournment submitting that some another date may be given for arguments on the issue of maintainability.

Contd...2

Heard.

Put up for arguments on maintainability of present execution petition on **11.08.2026**.

(NEERAJ SHARMA)
District Judge-04, Central
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
27.07.2026