

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Sessions Case: 80/2014

PW: 3 (CW: 12)

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 10.06.2025

Deposition of	:	Ravindranatha Ucchila
Father/Husband Name	:	Late Ramachandra Ucchila
Age	:	74 years
Occupation	:	Retired from Teri-communication Dept.
Resident Of	:	H.No.91-156(b), Sai Krupa Nivasa, Fisheries Road, Ucchila, Someshwara, Mangaluru Taluk.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

1. I do not know deceased Sumathi. Bharati is my sister-in-law. Bharati and her husband stayed in Mumbai. The house of Bharati and her husband is nearby our house. The said house consists of two floors. My sister-in-law Bharati gave first floor of the house to the tenant on rent and ground floor was kept to use them whenever they visit here. The tenants who were stayed in the first floor were from Rajasthan and speaking in Hindi and they were doing tile work. Three tenants were stayed in the house. Among the three persons, one person name was Shivaram. Since I know Hindi, I use to speak with them whenever I meet them. I can make an attempt to Identify said Shivaram if he is shown to me. One person is produced from JC through VC. Witness after seeing him states since he had seen Shivaramu about 13 years ago, exactly he cannot identify him.

2. On 20.04.2014, I was called to the house of Bharati as I am relative of Bharati. The police had brought aforesaid three persons to the said house. At that time I came to know the said three persons committed murder for gain and kept stolen items in the house and the said items were taken by the police on that day.

Cross-examination by Sri. RKA Advocate for accused No.1:-

1. The house belongs to Bharati Vijaya Udhyavar. I do not know if any documents were executed by Bharati to the tenants. Bharati used to collect rent whenever she visits here. I did not visit first floor tenanted house.

2. On 20.04.2014, when the police brought three persons, the said three persons were not covered with masks. The police were present in the house of Bharati for about 1/2 hour. I did not personally see what was taken by the police from the said house at the instance of the aforesaid three persons. I do not remember if police took my signature by preparing any document. The police did not take photographs in my presence. Apart from me other villagers also gathered at that time. There are other houses adjacent to the house of Bharati.

3. It is false to suggest that on 20.04.2014, the police did not bring Shivaram and other two persons to the house of Bharati. It is false to suggest that the police did not take/seize something from the house of Bharati at the instance of aforesaid persons. It is

false to suggest that the house does not belong to Bharati.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.