

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Sessions Case: 93/2014

C/w

Sessions Case: 99/2014

PW: 42 (CW: 55)

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 24.02.2026

Deposition of	:	Raveesh Nayak
Father/Husband Name	:	Suresh Nayak
Age	:	49 years
Occupation	:	ACP, Central Sub-Division.
Resident Of	:	Mangaluru.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

1. From February 2014 to September 2015, I was working as Port Immigration Officer, Panamburu. PW.39-Bhaskar Rai who was PI of Panamburu was promoted as DySP, hence, charge of Panamburu P.I. was handed over to me on 15.06.2014.

2. On 15.06.2014, I took up further investigation of the case and verified investigation upto date.

3. On 16.06.2014, Sathish and Maniyani submitted report that Accused Sathish Baikampady had been

absconding though they made a search at various places such as Bangalore, Hassan, Bellary, Tumkur etc.

4. On 18.06.2014, when I was making search of Accused Sathish Baikampady, I could not get any information about him.

5. On 23.06.2014, I sent 13 articles which were seized from the spot to FSL, Bangalore for examination through Chidananda. On the same day, Motor vehicle inspector came to Panamburu police station and inspected KA-19-EH-8393 and KA-19-N-7988 and gave information he would submit report.

6. On 28.06.2014, I received notarized documents from PW.6-Vasudeva Salian pertaining to Baikampady Mogaveera Mahasabha. I now see documents, the same are already marked at Ex.P33.

7. Since regular PI was deputed on 03.07.2014, I handed over further investigation to him.

Cross-examination by Sri. RKG Advocate for accused Nos.1, 3 and 4:-

8. I have not made requisition to furnish details pertaining to vehicle bearing Reg.No. KA-19-EH-8393 to know owner of the vehicle. I have not make an attempt to take

documents pertaining to vehicle bearing Reg.No. KA-19-EH-7393 as mentioned in the complaint. I have not made an attempt to take documents pertaining to vehicle bearing Reg.No. KA-19-MB-9164 as per the statements of Yadhava Puthran and Nayana. I have not made an attempt to take documents pertaining to vehicle bearing Reg.No. KA-19-MD-9164 as per the statements of Manohar and Babitha.

9. I have not collected documents pertaining to mobile numbers of PW.1, PW.2, Accused and deceased.

10. It is false to suggest that on 16.06.2014, Sathish and Maniyani did not furnish any report pertaining to search of Accused Sathish Baikampady. It is false to suggest that on 18.06.2014, I have not made any effort for search of Sathish Baikampady. It is false to suggest that I did not send any articles to RFSL on 23.06.2014. It is false to suggest that on that day IMV Inspector did not visit our police station and did not inspect vehicle bearing Reg.No.KA-19-EH-8393 and KA-19-N-7988.

11. I have verified documents pertaining to Mogaveera Mahasabha submitted by PW.6. PW.6 himself produced document dated 12.06.2014 in Ex.P33. It is true meeting dated 12.07.2012, 20.02.2013, 24.10.2013 are notarized documents. As per documents they were notarized on

13.06.2014. Though I requested PW.6 to produce original of meetings, he told he would produce original in court if necessary. I have not recorded statement of PW.6 to that effect. In the meeting dated 24.10.2013, the presence of members is shown. In the meeting dated 24.10.2013, presence of Sathish Baikampady, Harish Baikampady and Bhaskar Baikampady is not mentioned. PW.6 has not submitted documents pertaining to misappropriation of funds in Baikampady Mogaveera Mahasabha, Gopalakrishna Bhaja Mandali and School Building Committee. Witness states in the documents submitted by PW.6 at Ex.P33 there is a reference about misappropriation of funds. It is false to suggest that meeting dated 24.10.2013 was created by PW.6 to suit his convenience. I cannot say in meeting dated 20.02.2013, resignation of Sathish Baikampady was either accepted or rejected. Meeting dated 24.10.2013 was chaired by PW.6-Vasudeva Salian. Secretary was Devadas Puthran, Joint-Secretary was Vasanth Amin and Harishchandra Karkera was Treasurer to the said meeting. Name of Gangadhar Pangal is also mentioned as member. Document dated 24.11.2013, 09.11.2013, 09.11.2013 and 17.11.2013 are attested by PW.6 only with seal without signature. I have not obtained original of aforesaid documents. Letters dated 09.11.2013 were address to Sathish Baikampady and Bhaskar Baikampady. On both the letters recipients signature are not forthcoming. In the letter dated 17.11.2013, recipient signature is not forthcoming.

I have verified original of 21.11.2013. There is no mention about verification of original document dated 21.11.2013. Document dated 29.06.2013 and 21.08.2013 are attested copies. It is false to suggest that PW.6 created all the aforesaid documents for the purpose of this case. It is false to suggest that since those records were created, I did not collect original documents. I did not visit Baikampady Mogaveera Mahasabha, Gopalakrishna Bhajana Mandali and School Building. It is false to suggest that during my investigation I did not get any material against Accused.

Cross-examination by Sri SPAM for Advocate for Accused No.2:-

Adopts the aforesaid cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.