

Witness recalled, present and Duly Sworn on: 24.02.2026

Further Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

9. On 10.06.2014, I recorded statement of Yadhava Salian. CW.36-PW.23-Yadhava salian stated before me as per Ex.P47. (Witness states by oversight he did not depose this fact on 20.12.2025).

Cross-examination by Sri. RKG Advocate for accused Nos.1, 3 and 4:-

10. I have verified investigation done upto 29.05.2014, when I took file. The successive Investigating Officer has power to investigate any matter left out by the previous Investigating Officer. I have visited spot on the same day. I did not find anything to be recovered or seen from the spot. I have not made requisition to furnish details pertaining to vehicle bearing Reg.No. KA-19-EH-8393 to know owner of the vehicle. I have not make an attempt to take documents pertaining to vehicle bearing Reg.No. KA-19-EH-7393 as mentioned in the complaint. I have not made an attempt to take documents pertaining to vehicle bearing Reg.No. KA-19-MB-9164 as per the statements of Yadhava Puthran and Nayana. I have not made an attempt to take documents pertaining to vehicle bearing Reg.No. KA-19-MD-9164 as per the statements of Manohar and Babitha.

11. I have not made requisition to obtain CAF and CDR of PW.1, PW.2 and Accused Nos.1 to 4. Witness states PW.38 was deputed by PW.41 for the said work. I have seen CDRs in Laptop of PW.38. From the CDR we can ascertain exchange of calls, date, time, duration of calls and location. PW.38 and Ravikumar-ACP, Panamburu have been entrusted to take CDR and CAF of witnesses PW.1 and 2, deceased and accused. I have not taken CAF and CDR. I have not taken CAF and CDR from PW.38 and Ravikumar.

12. PW.38 was deputed for search of Accused Sathish Baikampady and if any. I have not obtained the documents pertaining to mobile numbers mentioned in the report Ex.P75 of PW.38. I have not collected documents from PW.38 pertaining to places of her visit viz, hotels and police stations. It is false to suggest that PW.38 did not visit any places. It is false to suggest that she prepared report without visiting any places and I received said false report. It is false to suggest that I have not received statement of Ravi Poojary from PW.38.

13. It is false to suggest that PW.23 did not give statement before me as per Ex.P47.

14. I do not know from the charge sheet corresponding letters made to ACP and RFSL Mangaluru are not forthcoming. Witness states the investigating officer who submitted charge

sheet might have missed those documents in enclosing along with charge sheet. PW.34 reported me about handing over of vehicles to RFSL. I do not know if subsequent IO did not produce the said report along with charge sheet. It is false to suggest that on 09.06.2014, I did not make any correspondences to ACP and RFSL and I did not send vehicles to RFSL through PW.34. It is false to suggest that since no such investigation pertaining to correspondence and sending vehicles was done on that day, no documents are available in the charge sheet.

15. It is false to suggest that PW.21 did not give statement as per Ex.P43.

16. It is false to suggest that I did not send any articles to FSL on 14.06.2014.

17. I do not know if subsequent IO enclosed questionnaire sent to President, Baikampady Mogaveera Mahasabha along with charge sheet. Motive to commit the crime is an enmity between deceased Gangadhar Pangal and Sathish Baikampady and his brothers with respect to misappropriation of fund and affairs of Baikampady Mogaveera Sabha. I have not visited office of Baikampady Mogaveera Mahasabha and made an attempt to collect documents with respect to the affairs of Baikampady

Mogaveera Sabha and misappropriation of funds. It is false to suggest that I have not received any information with respect to misappropriation of fund and affairs of Baikampady Mogaveera Sabha. It is false to suggest that since it was false case registered, I did not obtained documents from Baikampady Mogaveera Mahasabha and documents pertaining to CAF and CDR. It is false to suggest that during my investigation I did not find any evidence/material against Accused.

Cross-examination by Sri SPAM for Advocate for Accused No.2:-

Adopts the aforesaid cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.