

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 22.01.2026

Further Cross-examination by Sri. RKG Advocate for accused Nos.1, 3 and 4:-

18. On 15.05.2014, apart from panch witnesses, I have recorded statements of Anand-PW.11 and Naveen Shriyan-PW.1. I have recorded their statements before conducting spot mahazar. After recording their statements, I sent requisition to the Court to alter the section as per Ex.P82. I have not mentioned about statements of PW.11 and PW.1 in requisition Ex.P82.

19. I went to spot at 11:30 a.m. I secured Fingerprint Experts, Dog Squad and SOCO Team to the spot by giving call information as well as written requisition. The respective offices received requisition, but, time of receipt of requisition is not mentioned them. My staffs took requisitions, now I do not remember the name, but it is mentioned in the station dairy. Now I do not remember name of Head of Fingerprint Expert and Head of Dog Squad. From FSL Geethalaxmi and others came. When I was Inspector, Geethalaxmi was the Expert in FSL. I secured panchaas to the spot by issuing notices. It is false to suggest that I did not secure panchaas by my own. It is false to suggest that panchaas were provided by PW.2, PW.6 and PW.10. When I went to the spot, my staffs who were deputed in the night were changed. I can give their details, but

I do not remember their names now. The SHO had changed the staffs, I do not know the time. Now I do not remember who was SHO on the intervening night of 14.05.2014 and 15.05.2014. I have not recorded statements of Staffs who were deputed on the intervening night of 14/15.05.2014 and 15.05.2014 to know what was the precaution taken by them to secure the scene of offence.

20. In the remand application we have to mention gist of the investigation upto date done till the remand of the accused to the Jurisdictional Magistrate. In my investigation there is reference about the procedure adopted and report submitted by Fingerprint Expert and Dog Squad Expert. Dog Squad Experts told me dog led them upto 2kms towards South. I do not know if any document was prepared by Dog Squad on the spot. When I was in charge of investigation, Dog Squad and Fingerprint Experts have not submitted report. I do not have reports of Dog Squad and Fingerprint Experts as of now. I cannot say what was the procedure adopted by Fingerprint Experts on the spot. It is not necessary in the spot mahazar entire procedure adopted by Fingerprint and Dog Squad shall be mentioned. Since Fingerprint Expert and Dog Squad Expert did not assist me during spot mahazar, I have not mentioned their presence in the spot mahazar. It is true during investigation, Fingerprint Expert, Dog Squad Expert, FSL Expert have to work on my direction.

Que:- I put it to you in the spot mahazar your are required to mention the details of all the officers present and the direction given by me to the Officers present there?

Ans:- It is not necessary.

21. I have not taken signature of FSL Expert, Dog Squad Expert and Fingerprint Expert on the mahazar. Printout of spot mahazar was taken in Baikampady, I do not know the place as my staff took printout. Entire panchanama proceeding was not videographed. Necessary photographs and videography was made. Packing and seal of articles while conducting mahazar is important aspect. I have taken signature of Panchaas and I have affixed my signature on the slips pasted on the sealed articles. We packed and sealed articles. We collected articles from the spot. Each article whenever collected were packed, sealed and assigned number. I have prepared sketch Ex.P3 on the spot itself. I have not shown tire mark and other marks on the road. Witness states he had mentioned in the mahazar. It is true key is attached with key bunch consists of Red Key chain and other three keys. Key was in the Dickey locker. I have mentioned in the mahazar key bunch with three keys. In the mahazar I have not mentioned auto key and colour of key bunch. I do not know if Fingerprint Experts took any prints on the key bunch and on the scooter. I have not directed Fingerprint Experts to take fingerprints in a particular place or article. I have not take

signature of FSL Experts on the sealed articles. In spot mahazar it is not forthcoming the article seen in Ex.P44(b) was seized. The politician seen in ExP44(c) was then MLA of the constituency of the area. Article seen in Ex.P44(a) is shawl. In the mahazar I have not mentioned colour of paint which was scratched and taken from front and back of the scooter as per 'O' and 'P'. At that time no lorries were moving on the road. Movement of vehicle in the night hours was very rare at that time. It is true lorries containing goods move in the said road from harbor to Baikampady. Witness states lorries move on the road when ship comes to harbor. Witness further states at that time no ship had come to the harbor. I have not obtained any document or report from Harbor authority to show on 14.05.2014, no ship came to the harbor and vehicles were not moving on the road. It is false to suggest that the said road was busy in the night hours by movement of lorries and other vehicles. It is false to suggest that MO.10-Radiator guard has been created for the case. I have not sent seized articles to the Court during my investigation. It is false to suggest that under the mahazar Ex.P2 no articles were seized, packed and sealed on 15.05.2014. It is false to suggest that spot mahazar, sketch and articles were manufactured on 19.05.2014. While conducting mahazar there was no music concert on the spot. Audio was not recorded in videography. It is false to suggest that in the entire videography, presence of panchaas, seizure, packing and seal of articles, preparation of

mahazar and preparation of sketch are not forthcoming. I have not mentioned in the mahazar Suresh took photographs and made videography. It is false to suggest that audio of politician and music appearing in the video have been edited. It is true except date, breakup time is not forthcoming in the video. I have not recorded statement of Suresh, photographer.

22. It is false to suggest that on 23.05.2014, I did not receive photographs, CD and 65B certificate from Suresh Photographer. It is true in Ex.P81-65B certificate issued by Suresh, my signature is not appearing. It is false to suggest that I have falsely deposed in my chief examination Suresh issued Ex.P81 to me. It is false to suggest that Ex.P81 was created on 20.12.2025. It is false to suggest that number plate of scooter has been created.

23. It is false to suggest that on 15.05.2014, I have not recorded further statement of Naveen Shriyan, statement of Anand Poojary as per Ex.P22 and 38. It is false to suggest that I have created Ex.P22 and 38. On 16.05.2014, PW.38 and staffs have not given any report with respect to search of accused and I have not recorded their statements on that day. It is false to suggest that on 16.05.2014, I have not recorded statement of any witnesses. It is false to suggest that whatever statements available were created on the instructions and at the instance of PW.2, PW.6 and PW.10 on 20.05.2014.

24. I have not visited Mooluru wherein Thambila function was held to ascertain whether on 13.05.2014 and 14.05.2014 any such function was held in the said village. I have not conducted mahazar at Mooluru wherein Sathish Baikampady and Harish Baikampady waited to see Gangadhar Pangal. I have not enquired staffs of Vishwasagar Hotel to know Gangadhar Pangal had parked his scooter on 14.05.2014. I have not collected documents pertaining to scooter bearing Reg.No.KA-19-EH-7393 and KA-19-EH-8393.

25. Now I do not remember in whose statements they stated Sathish Baikampady, Harish Baikampady and Bhaskar Baikampady was suspended from the Mogaveera Mahasaba when Gangadhar Pangal was the President of the said Mahasaba. It is false to suggest that in the statements no such averment was forthcoming, I am deposing the same first time before the Court. I have enclosed the report submitted by PW.38 on 17.05.2014 to the file. It is false to suggest that I have created statements of PW.4 and PW.5. It is false to suggest that on 14.05.2014, Sathish Baikampady and Harish Baikampady did not go to Mooluru in Ritz car.

26. During investigation, I did not get any information about vehicle bearing Reg.No. KA-19-MB-9164 Ritz car. Witness volunteers he got information about vehicle bearing

Reg.No. KA-19-MD-9146 Ritz car. I did not get any information about vehicle bearing Reg.No. KA-19-MB-9164 Ritz car. In the statements of Yadhava Puthran and Nayana they have stated about vehicle bearing Reg.No. KA-19-MB-9164. In the statement of Manohar Bloor vehicle number KA-19-MD-9164 Ritz car is mentioned. In the statement of Babitha also vehicle number KA-19-MD-9164 Ritz car is mentioned. I have not investigated both cars belong to whom. I do not know PW.2-Yadhav Puthran, PW.6-Vasudev Salian, PW.4-Harishchandra, PW.5-Rajesh Puthran, PW.9-Manoj, PW.7-Rohith Kunder were all working in MCF.

27. I do not know PW.16-Manohar Bloor was in the committee of Fourteen Mogaveera Pattana Samitha Sabha. It is true in his statement he has stated he was in the committee of Fourteen Mogaveera Pattana Samitha Sabha. It is false to suggest that PW.16 is also created witness through PW.2, PW.6 and PW.10.

28. I took PW.19 and CW.32 from the police station to Kudhuremukha Junction on 20.05.2014. I secured panchaas through my staff. It is false to suggest that PW.19 and CW.32 were provided by PW.2, PW.6 and PW.10. On the spot at Kudhuremukha Junction, we only apprehended Pushparaj, Harish Baikampady and Bhaskar Baikampady. Since I need to enquire in-detail, I did not seize car bearing Reg.No. KA-19-

MD-9146 at Kudhuremukha Junction, it was brought to the police station along with above three persons. Vasudeva Salian PW.6, CW.26 and CW.27 were in police station on 20.05.2014. It is false to suggest that on 20.05.2014, at 12:30 noon, I my staffs and panchaas did not go to Kudhuremukha junction, did not intercept vehicle bearing Reg.No.KA-19-MD-9146, did not apprehend Accused Nos.2 to 4 and did not bring them with car to the police station. It is false to suggest that vehicle numbers of Ritz car bearing Reg.No.KA-19-MD-9146, Bolero vehicle bearing Reg.No.KA-19-N-7988 and Maruti 800 car bearing Reg.No.KA-19-MB-0998 were furnished by PW.2, PW.6 and PW.10. It is false to suggest that Ex.P41-mahazar was prepared in the police station. It is false to suggest that Accused Nos.2 to 4 were secured from their respective houses. It is false to suggest that on 22.05.2014, we brought Ritz car bearing Reg.No.KA-10-MD-9146 from the house of PW.37-Lekha Jaikar. It is false to suggest that on 20.05.2014, Ritz car was not in our custody. On 20.05.2014, I have sent PF.No.51/2014 pertaining to Ritz car to the Court. Original PF is confronted to witness. As per original PF, it was received by the Court on 23.05.2014 vide Inward No.3620/2014. Jurisdictional Magistrate also signed on the PF on 23.05.2014. It is true in the PF car number is corrected as KA-19-MD-9146. It is false to suggest that PF.No.51/2014 was not at all prepared on 20.05.2014.

29. I have not taken signature of Panchaas PW.6, CW.26 and 27 on the voluntary statements of Accused Pushparaj and Bhaskar Baikampady. It is false to suggest that voluntary statements of Accused Pushparaj and Bhaskar Baikampady were created at the instance of PW.2, PW.6 and PW.10.. In my case dairy name of Suresh photographer is mentioned. It is false to suggest that though his name is not forthcoming in the case dairy, I am deposing false.

30. For all the mahazars conducted on 20.05.2014, PW.6, CW.26 and CW.27 are the panch witnesses. It is true Ex.P28, P30 and P32 were conducted at different places. I have not secured panchaas from the respective places in Ex.P28, 30 and 32. I have not mentioned in the mahazar that though I made an attempt to secure panchaas at the respective places, no one came forward to assist panchaname. When the mahazar Ex.P28 was conducted in garage, PW.13 owner of the garage was not in the garage. I have not taken signature of PW.12-Nithish on the mahazar. In the mahazar I have written radiator guard was cut and small piece was entangled. It is true in the mahazar I have not mentioned absence of Radiator guard in the Bolero vehicle. Witness states only piece of Radiator guard was entangled. Small piece of Radiator guard was hanging at the Radiator portion of the vehicle. Remaining Radiator guard was found on the spot. Bolero vehicle belongs to Mahindra and Mahindra. There was no company symbol on Radiator guard MO.10. Witness states MO.10-Radiator guard

is exclusively made to fit Bolero vehicle. I cannot say four wheeler vehicle seen in Ex.P65-Photograph. It is false to suggest that though I can say the vehicle seen in Ex.P65-Photograph is Bolero, I am falsely deposing I cannot say. I have not noted tire marks or details in the mahazar. Repair and service of our Department vehicles was being made in said garage. Witness states in other garages also Department vehicles being repaired. It is false to suggest that on 20.05.2014 Bolero vehicle was not seized in the garage. It is false to suggest that mahazar pertaining to garage and seizure of Bolero vehicle was prepared on 23.05.2014. On 20.05.2014, I have sent PF.No.52/2014 pertaining to Bolero vehicle to the Court. Original PF is confronted to witness. As per original PF, it was received by the Court on 23.05.2014 vide Inward No.3620/2014. Jurisdictional Magistrate also signed on the PF on 23.05.2014. It is false to suggest that PF.No.52/2014 was not at all prepared on 20.05.2014.

31. Place wherein Maruti 800 car was seized belongs to Bhaskar Baikampady. Ganesh Shipping godown is in a distance of 400mtrs from there. I do not know in the said place Bhaskar Baikampady used to carryout his business. On 20.05.2014, I have sent PF.No.53/2014 pertaining to Maruti 800 car to the Court. Original PF is confronted to witness. As per original PF, it was received by the Court on 23.05.2014 vide Inward No.3620/2014. Jurisdictional Magistrate also

signed on the PF on 23.05.2014. It is false to suggest that PF.No.53/2014 was not at all prepared on 20.05.2014. Bhaskar Baikampady was with us when seizure mahazar of car was conducted. Except seizure of car mahazar Ex.P30, no other mahazar was conducted in the same spot.

32. Security guards are deputed inside APMC gate. I have not enquired with security guards with respect to presence of accused persons in front of APMC gate along with vehicle on 14.05.2014. It is true Baikampady area is having movement of public till 12:00 midnight. There are Hotels and shops. I have not enquired with staffs of hotel and shop owners about presence of accused with vehicle in that are on 14.05.2014. Witness states he enquired Naveen Shriyan and Anand Poojary.

33. It is false to suggest that PW.22 and CW.34 were provided by PW.2, 6 and 10 with respect to mahazar Ex.P45 and 46. I do not know PW.22-Raghuram is Civil Contractor and carried out construction of Mogaveera Mahasabha building. It is false to suggest that I am aware of the said fact. It is false to suggest that I have prepared Ex.P45 and 46 in police station. Ex.P39 and Ex.P45 were conducted in the vacant site behind the house of Chandrashekar. As per mahazar Mangaluru Tile house and shop of Raja Immanuel is in a distance of 50 feet from the spot. I have not enquired

Chandrashekar and Raja Immanual about Bolero vehicle. It is false to suggest that I did not go to aforesaid place and did not conduct mahazar on the spot. It is false to suggest that I have created mahazar in the police station.

34. It is false to suggest that I have not recorded statements of PW.6, PW.12, PW.13, CW.26 and CW.27 on 20.05.2014. It is false to suggest that on 21.05.2014, I have not recorded statements of Raghuram, Franky, Nithish and Thripal Kumar. It is false to suggest that I have not recorded statement of PW.30 on 23.05.2014 as per Ex.P63. It is false to suggest that I have created photographs in the police station.

34. I have not collected material to know whether Gangadhar Pangal had mobile with him at the time of incident.

35. In general if CDR of mobile number is taken, exchange of calls including duration and location details can be ascertained. I have not collected CDR of mobile numbers of PW.2, PW.6, PW.10, PW.1 and deceased during my investigation.

36. I have not taken details of vehicle belongs to PW.2-Yadhava Puthran. I have not conducted mahazar based on the statements of PW.8 and PW.9. Based on statement of PW.15, I

have not conducted mahazar. I have not mentioned names of witnesses who were enquired and recorded their statements in Remand application, but, I have mentioned brief history of statements. It is true names of witnesses are not mentioned in the Remand application. I have not given false information to the Court in the Remand application. It is true I have kept blank PF numbers in Remand application. It is false to suggest that I have not sent respective PFs to the Court. It is false to suggest that I have created documents to the false story created by PW.2, 6 and 10.

37. PW.2-Yadhava Puthran has not stated before me deceased Gangadhar Pangal was Priest of Panjurli Daiva. PW.3 has not stated before me as deposed by her in para no.5 of her chief examination. PW.4 has not stated before me at 6.00 a.m on 15.05.2014 he received call from PW.1 who told Gangadhar Pangal met with an accident near beverage circle on Meenakali road. PW.6 has not stated before me that Nithin Mendon had called him on 15.05.2014 at 4.00-4.30 a.m. and told Gangadhar Pangal met with an accident. PW.6 has not lodged independent complaint before me with respect to the incident. PW.6 has not stated before me he went Gopalakrishna Bajana Mandira at 6.30 a.m., Venkatesh Bangera, Devadas Puthran, Bhaskar Kanchan, Vasanth Salian, Vasanth Amin, Dhanpal C.K, Harishchandra Karkera came to Gopalakrishna Bhajana

Mandira. From there we all went to spot which is nearby Gopalakrishna Bhajana Mandira. Spot is opposite to Ganesh Shipping Godown. Police were present. Barricades were erected to secure spot. We also noticed blood traces, Shawl of Gangadhar Pangal, Scooter was dragged for a distance on the Tar road upto 100 feet, on the right side below Mandara flower tree scooter bearing Reg.No.KA-19-EH-8393 of Gangadhar Pangal was lying, broken indicator pieces of scooter and one 4 wheeler radiator guard. PW.12 has not stated before me before going to the place wherein Bolero was stopped he visited garage, took tools and went to place of Bolero vehicle.

38. I have not verified and collected documents pertaining to misappropriation of funds pertaining to Mogaveera Mahasabha, School building and Gopalakrishna Bhajana Mandali. It is false to suggest that during my investigation though I came to know Gangadhar Pangal met with an accident and died in the accident, I am deposing false to sustain false case registered and false documents created at the instance of PW.2, PW.6 and PW.10.I came to know in the statement of CW.5 Poornima she left her husband Lokesh on account of matrimonial issue and settled with her father. It is false to suggest that Accused Nos.1, 3 and 4 had no enmity against deceased Gangadhar Pangal. It is false to suggest that said enmity story was created by PW.2, 6 and 10.

**Cross-examination by Sri. SPAM for Advocate for Accused
No.2:-**

Adopts the aforesaid cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.