

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Sessions Case: 93/2014

C/w

Sessions Case: 99/2014

PW: 3 (CW: 4)

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 21.08.2025

Deposition of	:	Nayana
Father/Husband Name	:	Yadhava Puthran
Age	:	48 years
Occupation	:	House wife
Resident Of	:	Kulai Village, Mangaluru.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

1. Deceased Gangadhar Pangal was my father. I know PW.1-Naveen Shriyan. PW.2 is my husband. CW.5 is my sister. I know CW.6-Harishchandra. CW.7 is my brother-in-law. CW.8 is my villager. I know CW.7, 9 to 16, 21, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31 and 32. I know Accused Nos.1 to 4 who present before the court.

2. My father Gangadhar Pangal was President of Baikampady Mogaveera Mahasabha. He was also priest of Panjurli Daiva of our village. My father was visiting for Madhu prayer of Daiva.

3. On 14.05.2014, I, my husband and my children had been to Mooluru for family Thambila function. On 14.05.2014, my father attended Thambila function in our house in Mooluru. On 14.05.2014, my father came on his scooter and had parked the said scooter at Vishwasagara Hotel, Baikampady, from there he came to Mooluru in bus. After finishing Tambila function in our house at Mooluru, at 11.030 p.m., I, my husband, my children and my father left Mooluru. On the way, near small bridge on Baikampady-Surathkal road, we saw a Bolero vehicle, Pushparaj and Bhaskar Baikamapady were standing in-front of said Bolero vehicle bearing Reg.No.KA-19-N-7988 and discussing, further when we reached near APMC gate, on the vacant place of the gate, one Maritu 800 car bearing Reg.No.KA-19-MB-0998 was parked. At around 12.15 midnight we dropped my father at Vishwasagara Hotel, Baikampady as his scooter was parked there. After dropping my father, we were present there till my father took his scooter and proceeded towards Meenakali road. When we started our car to proceed, Bolero vehicle proceeded behind my father scooter, further Maruti 800 car followed said Bolero car. When we were proceeding towards our house, a Ritz car bearing Reg.No.KA-19-D-9146 came from opposite side that is Surathkal side and proceeded towards Mangaluru, in the said car Harish Baikampady was driving the car and Sathish Baikampady was sitting on the front left seat. I, my husband and my children proceeded in our car to our house

via Vishnumoorthy Temple. We reached house situate at Kulai at around 12.30-12.50 midnight.

4. After reaching house, PW.1-Naveen called my husband over phone and informed my father was lying unconscious and called us. My husband left house. I stayed in the house itself.

5. My husband returned at 6.15 a.m. I and my husband went to spot. The said spot is on the right side of Ganesh Shipping Cement Godan. By that time the police have already fixed barricades on the spot. We noticed shawl, radiator guard of a vehicle on the right side of the road, indicator of the vehicle, glass pieces. Scooter was dragged for about 100 meters on the road. After 200 meters, scooter of my father was lying under a tree. We also noticed blood stains on the spot. My father Scooter registration number is KA-19-EH-8393.

6. I can identify Scooter of my father, all the three vehicles viz, Bolero, Maruti 800 and Ritz. Witness identifies vehicles in Ex.P8, P24, P25 and P26 respectively.

7. I can identify shawl, radiator guard, indicator of the vehicle, glass pieces. Witness identifies MO.1, MO.10, MO.3 and MO.5.

8. Sathish Baikampady was president of Gopalakrishna

Bhajana Mandhira. It was alleged he had misappropriated funds of said Bhajana Mandhira, hence Baikampady Mogaveera Mahasabha committee called him and enquired about misappropriation of funds but he did not respond to the same, hence he was expelled from the Baikampady Mogaveera Mahasabha. Sathish Baikampady presumed my father was reason for expelling him from said Mahasabha. Sathish Baikampady was proclaiming he would show 'Gathi' to my father and telling the same to the Villagers.

9. From the above, we strongly my father was murdered.

Cross-examination by Sri. RKG Advocate for Accused Nos.1, 3 and 4:-

10. It is false to suggest that on 13.05.2014 and 14.05.2014 no such functions called Bhootha Kola and Thambila took place in Mooluru village.

11. My husband told Naveen called and told my father was lying unconscious and left the house. My husband went to hospital.

12. I and my husband held discussion about observing vehicles on 14.05.2014.

13. My husband returned to house at 6-6.15 a.m. on 15.05.2014. My husband told only about accident but did not inform about death of my father. Since I was not in a position to discuss other things, I did not hold other discussions with my husband and just joined my husband to the spot.

14. When we went to the spot, police and public were present. Barricades were erected securing the spot. I did not go to hospital. Then I met my husband only when the dead body of my father was brought to house in the afternoon. All the family members gathered in our house. On that day, we were not in a position to discuss about the incident. I came to know case was registered about the incident. On that day the police did not come to our house.

15. On 16.05.2014, I visited police station to give statement. Exactly, I do not remember there after if I visited police station.

17. It is false to suggest that, I have not seen any articles on the spot. Scooter of my father was lying under tree abutting to the tree. It is false to suggest that based on instructions given by my husband I identified the articles.

18. It is false to suggest that I had no personal knowledge over subject matters of Bykampadi mogaveera

Mahasabha Gopala krishna Bajana Mandira and High School building committee. I am not member in Bykampadi Mogaveera Mahasabha, I am member of Gopala Krishna Bajana Mandira. I am not the member of High School building committee. I am not the office bearer of Gopala krishna Bajana as only male persons are the office bearers.

19. I was not present when enquiry was made with Sathish Bikampady in Bikampady Mogaveera Mahasaba with respect to Miss appropriation of funds. It false to suggest that no such enquiry was made.

20. It is false suggest that Sathish Bikampady was in cordial relationship with my father. It is true Photographs of function were published in newspapers. It is true my father also used to attend Mahasabha functions as he was also member of the Mahasabha. Sathish Bikampady became president of Bikampady Mogaveera Mahasabha gopala Krishna Bajan Mandira and High school building committee after the term of my father.

21. I know Vasudeva Salian CW.8. There is grudge between Sathish Bikampady and Vasudeva Salian.

22. It is false to suggest that there is a possibility that my father himself hit tree, sustained injuries and died.

23. I know Gangadara Hosabettu, an advocate. I know there was case between Gangadara Hosabettu and Accused nos.1 and 2 herein. I do not know the said case was registered when the investigation of my father case was going on.

24. It is false to suggest that we all created false story and to the said false case I am deposing false.

25. It is false to suggest that on 14.05.2014, my father did not come to Muloor Village to attend Thambila function. It is false to suggest that I never saw three vehicles and Accused nos.1 to 4 as stated above on 14.05.2014.

26. It is false to suggest that my father had no scooter bearing Reg No.KA-19-EH-8393 with him.

27. It is false to suggest that the villagers have not stated to us that Sathish Bikampady was proclaiming he would see the fate of my father. In the year 2013 we came to know about misappropriation of funds by Sathish bikampady. During that period villagers were telling the aforesaid fact. Neither me, nor my father nor my husband have lodged complaint against Sathish Bikampady to the police or Bikampady Mogaveera Mahasaba. It is false to suggest that no such incident took place, it was created by us.

28. Since, my father used to travel in the same road and the house of Accused nos.1 3 and 4 is in Meenakali, we did not follow our father after Bolero vehicle and Maruthi 800 followed the scooter of my father. It is false to suggest that Accused nos.1 to 4 did not follow scooter of my father on 14.05.2014. It is false to suggest that I never went to Vishwa sagara Hotel Bikampady on 14.05.2014.

29. It is false to suggest that whatever stated by me in paragraph 5 of the Chief examination is first time before the court.

30. It is false to suggest that I am deposing false.

31. It is false to suggest that since Accused no.2 is close friend of Accused no.1 we implicated all the accused nos.1 to 4 in the case.

32. It is true after the present incident, case and counter case were registered against my family and the accused nos 3, 4 and Girish Bikampady. It is false to suggest that to harass accused persons, we filed said case.

Cross-examination by Sri SPAM for Advocate for Accused No.2:-

Adopts the aforesaid cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.