

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 11.09.2025.

Since Accused No.3 has been secured later on, witness is recalled.

Further chief examination by Public Prosecutor:-

17. I can identify Shahanavaz the Accused. Today 2 accused persons present before the court. Witness identifies first person from left as Shahanavaz. On enquiry he submits his name as Shahanavaz.

Cross-examination by Sri. KPAS Advocate for accused No.3:-

18. I had no acquaintance with Accused No.3 Shahanavaz prior to the incident. I came to know from police Shahanavaz was working on Saloon.

19. Police have shown Shahanavaz to me while bringing him after arrest. He was shown to us in police station. 3 Accused persons were in the police station. I, my brother, PW.4 and PW.8 went to police station on that day. The Accused persons were not covered with face cloths. The police have shown Shahanavaz on 2-3 times. When the Accused persons were shown to us, my statement was recorded. It is false to suggest that the police never showed the Accused No.3- Shahanavaz to me.

20. The police have shown gold articles after 2 months of the arrest of Accused persons. All the gold articles of my mother were shown to us, they were kept in separate file plastic covers. On plastic covers no seals were affixed. We saw gold articles in first floor of the police station at Surathkal. It is false to suggest that no gold articles were shown to us. I do not know after showing gold articles whether the said gold articles were packed and sealed in my presence.

21. It is false to suggest that since Shahanavaz is before the court, I am identifying him as he is Shahanavaz. It is false to suggest that the Accused No.3 has no nexus with the crime.

[Counsel adopts remaining cross-examination done by counsel for Accused No.1.]

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.