

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Sessions Case: 93/2014

C/w

Sessions Case: 99/2014

PW: 23 (CW: 36)

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 06.11.2025

Deposition of	:	Yadava Salian
Father/Husband Name	:	Krishnappa Puthran
Age	:	55 years
Occupation	:	Fishing
Resident Of	:	Surathkal.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

1. I do not know Sathish Baikampady, Baskar Baikampady and Harish Baikampady.

2. Police have not enquired me in this case.

(at this stage, at the request of Public Prosecutor this witness has been treated as partly hostile and permission is accorded for cross examination)

Cross-examination by Public Prosecutor:-

3. My wife name is Sumithra. In June 2014, I was doing fishing. I do not know Sathish Baikampady, Harish Baikampady and Baskar Baikampay for children of Susheela my fathers sister. Witness states his father's sister is Laxmi, she is no more.

4. It is false to suggest that I was working under Baskar Baikampady as a water man, as Baskar Baikampady had taken a tender to release drainage water after treating to sea, at that time Sathish Baikampady took me, Pushparaj and others to quota to erect electric poles in fishing plant of his friend as the local edge the neighbors objecting for erecting electric poles, since the neighbors objected for erecting electric pole we stopped the said work and returned back, after some days, while we were going once again, Sathish Baikampady requested me to hit lorry and kill Shambu Poojary who took lead of the said protest, in turn I refused the said proposal, after some days Sathish Baikampady and Pushparaj informed me when Shambu Poojary was proceeding in his car Sathish Baikampady Pushparaj and others hit Shambu Poojary car from lorry and made an attempt to kill him however Shambu Poojary escaped from the said incident without sustained injuries, after coming to know about the same, I disconnected with Sathish Baikampady, Harish Baikampady, they to did not take me to work but used to visit there house only in functions, I had knowledge that Sathish Baikampady, Harish Baikampady and Baskar Baikampady had grudge Against Gangadar Pangal with respect to suspension of membership from the Baikampady Moghaveera Mahasabha then I came to know Sathish Baikampady, Harish Baikampady and Baskar Baikampady made a plan with Pushparaj to kill Gangadhar Pangal, according on 14.05.2014, at 12.30 midnight, when Gangadhar Pangal was proceeding towards his house on his

scooter, they hit scooter of Gangadar from hind side and committed murder without living proof on the spot and escaped, I came to know among the above Pushparaj, Harish Baikampady and Baskar Baikampady were arrested by the police and produced before the court, and I had no knowledge whereabouts of Sathish Baikampady, accordingly I gave statement before police on 10.06.2014. Statement is read over to witness, he denies the same. Statement is marked as Ex.P47.

5. It is false to suggest that though I am relative of accused nos.1, 3 and 4 and know entire case details including their acts, to help them I am deposing false.

Cross-examination by Sri. RKG Advocate for accused Nos.1, 3 and 4:-

Submits no cross-examination.

Cross-examination by Sri SPAM for Advocate for Accused No.2:-

Submits no cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.