

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Sessions Case: 93/2014

C/w

Sessions Case: 99/2014

PW: 15 (CW: 14)

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 04.11.2025

Deposition of	:	Anand Kukkyan
Father/Husband Name	:	Kunha Poojary
Age	:	61 years
Occupation	:	Loder, MCF.
Resident Of	:	Baikampady.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

1. I know accused Nos. 1, 3 and 4. I know accused No.
2. I knew deceased Gangadhar Pangal.

2. On 15.05.2014, in the morning I came to know about death of Gangadhar Pangal.

3. On 13.05.2014, I had been to market at Baikampady. When I was proceeding, near Ganesh Shipping go-down at 6.00 p.m. I saw Bolero Vehicle bearing registration KA-19-N-7988, Maruti 800 bearing registration KA-19-MD-9146 and another Maruti vehicle bearing registration KA-19-MB-0998 Satish Baikampady, Harish Baikampady, Baskar Baikampady were also present. I returned from market around 9.30-10.00 p.m. While returning the aforesaid vehicle were still parked

there. I went to my house.

4. On 16.05.2014 I saw in newspaper Gangadhar Pangal was murdered an account of old animosity between Satish Baikampady and Gangadhar Pangal.

5. I can identify Satish Baikampady, Harish Baikampady and Baskar Baikampady. Witness identifies all the three.

(at this stage, at the request of Public Prosecutor this witness has been treated as partly hostile and permission is accorded for cross examination)

Cross-examination by Public Prosecutor:-

6. It is true on observing the discussion of Satish Baikampady, Harish Baikampady and Baskar Baikampady and Pushpraj between 6.00 p.m. and 9.00 p.m on 13.05.2014, I thought they conspired to commit murder of Gangadhar Pangal.

Cross-examination by Sri. RKG Advocate for accused Nos.1, 3 and 4:-

7. I am working in MCF as a loder. I am not working under Yadav Putran. Yadav Putran is also working in MCF.

8. It is false to suggest that I have not stated before the police about going to market on that day. It is false to suggest that I came to court today along with Yadav Putran. It is false

to suggest that though I came along with Yadav Putran, I am deposing false.

9. The office of Baskar Baikampady is situated near Ganesh Shipping go-down. It is true everyday Baskar Baikampady used to work and carryout his business there.

10. On 15.05.2014 at 6.00 a.m. I came to know death of Gangadhar Pangal. At that time I was in MCF. After coming to know about death, I did not contact anyone and did not take details. I came to house at 3.00 p.m. on that day. On that day I did not contact family members or anybody. I did not attend funeral of Gangadhar Pangal. From my house, police station is 2 kms.

11. On 15.05.2014, I have not visited police station to inform about 13.05.2014 incident. It is false to suggest that on 16.05.2014, the news pertaining Gangadhar Pangal did not publish.

12. It is false to suggest that I did not state before police “on observing the discussion of Satish Baikampady, Harish Baikampady and Baskar Baikampady and Pushpraj between 6.00 p.m. and 9.00 p.m on 13.05.2014, I thought they conspired to commit murder of Gangadhar Pangal.”

13. It is false to suggest that on 13.05.2014, I did not see all the three vehicles and accused Nos. 1, 3 and 4 near

Ganesh Shipping go-down. It is false to suggest that at the incident of Yadav Putran I have given details of vehicle numbers of my deposition.

14. It is false to suggest that I have taken name of Pushpraj first time before the court on the say of police and Yadav Putran. It is false to suggest that I do not know anything about case. It is false to suggest that I am deposing false. It is false to suggest that I have not given statement before police on 16.05.2014.

Cross-examination by Sri SPAM for Advocate for Accused No.2:-

Adopts the aforesaid cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.