

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Sessions Case: 80/2014

PW: 7 (CW: 17)

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 08.07.2025

Deposition of	:	Chandrasahsa Saliyan
Father/Husband Name	:	Seetharama Kotiyan
Age	:	53 years
Occupation	:	Developer
Resident Of	:	Hosabettu, Mangaluru.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

1. I know Cw.18-B.M. Shareef, he is my neighbor.

2. I knew deceased Sumathi. On 20.04.2014, Surathkal Police called me to the police station and I went to police station at 11.30 a.m. The police told they have apprehended the accused who murdered Sumathi and to conduct Mahazar for Seizure of mobile, I was called to police station. CW.18-Shareef was also present with me. The police showed Two accused persons in the police station. The police told the said mobiles were used by the Accused persons for commission of the offence. The police Seized two mobiles in the police station. I can identify said mobiles, if they are shown to me. Two mobiles are produced before the court. On the mobiles a slip is

pasted. Both the mobiles are shown to witness, he identifies the said mobiles and states the very same mobiles were seized on that day. The police prepared Mahazar till 1-1.30 p.m. and Seized the aforesaid mobiles. I now see Mahazar, it bears my signature. The same are marked as Ex.P18 and 18(a). One mobile contains Two SIM cards of Airtel service provider. The mobile and two SIM cards together marked as MO.9. Another mobile contains no SIM cards. The mobile is marked as MO.10.

3. I can identify Shivaram the Accused among the two accused persons who produced mobile on that day. Today one Accused is produced from JC through VC. Witness identifies him as Shivaram and states he was shown to me in the police station on that time.

Cross-examination by Sri. RKA Advocate for accused No.1:-

4. When I received call from police, I was in Surathkal. When the police called me, they told they had arrested accused and seized mobiles. I and CW.18 visited police station in a difference time of 5-10 minutes. When the police showed two accused persons to us, no masks were put to them. When we saw, two mobiles were on the Inspector table.

5. It is true in one mobile produced today, Two Airtel SIM cards are containing. It is true in the mobile Vodaphone SIM card is not forthcoming. It is true in another mobile there are no SIM cards. I do not remember after seizure, whether both the mobiles were packed and sealed. It is true the police did not take my signature on the slips pasted on the mobiles.

6. It is false to suggest that on 20.04.2014, the police did not call me to the police station. I did not go to the police station. It is false to suggest that did not show two accused persons to me and CW.18. It is false to suggest that the police did not prepare mahazar and did not seized two mobiles in our presence. The police did not take photographs while seizure of mobiles. It is false to suggest that I am deposing false.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.