

IN THE COURT OF II ADDL. DISTRICT AND SESSIONS
(SPECIAL) JUDGE MANGALURU, D.K.

Sessions Case: 80/2014

PW: 9 (CW: 24)

Witness present and Duly Sworn on: 08.07.2025

Deposition of	:	Rajkumar
Father/Husband Name	:	Ram Babu
Age	:	52 years
Occupation	:	Goldsmith
Resident Of	:	Sajan Market, Rajasthan State.

Examination in Chief by Public Prosecutor :-

1. I know CW.25. CW.23- Jagadish Prasad is my uncle. He is goldsmith. He has no Jewelry shop but he prepares gold articles. He takes order for preparing gold articles and also he purchases gold articles.

2. In the year 2014, neighboring shop of jagadish Prasad called me over phone and told police from Mangaluru came to the shop of Jagadish Prasad, I went to the shop of Jagadish Prasad as he was my uncle and to know why police came to his shop. Along with Mangaluru police, our local police was also present. I made an enquiry with local police who was acquainted with me. He told a Murder of woman was committed in Mangaluru, after committing murder her gold

articles were stolen, brought to Rajasthan, through the relative said gold articles were sold in the shop of Jagadish Prasad. By the time I reached shop of Jagadish Prasad, the police had already held discussion with him and completed the procedure. The police asked me to sign on the document, accordingly I signed on the document. By the time I reached shop of Jagadish Prasad, the police have completed the entire procedure, I did not see what was recovered from the shop of Jagadish Prasad, but I signed on the document. One document is shown to witness, he identifies his signature on the document. The said document is Seizure Mahazar dated 25.04.2014 held between 1.00 p.m and 2.30 p.m. The seizure Mahar is marked as P20 and signature of witness is marked as Ex.P20(a).

(at this stage, at the request of Public Prosecutor this witness has been treated as partly hostile and permission is accorded for cross examination)

Cross-examination by Public Prosecutor:-

3. It is true I have signed on the aforesaid document on 25.04.2014 between 1.00 and 2.30 p.m.

4. It is false to suggest that the Mangaluru police brought along with them one Bahaddur Singh, the police explained us that the said Bahaddur Singh and others robbed gold articles by committing murder of a woman in Mangaluru,

Bahaddur Singh came to Rajasthan and sold gold articles with Jagadish Prasad, on enquiry with Jagadish Prasad, Jagadish Prasad told one month ago the said Jagadish Prasad sold gold articles to him, accordingly, Jagadish Prasad produced one gold chain measuring 6 ½ with 2 gold balls approximately measuring 3 grams, 6 gold balls approximately weighing 3.700 grams, 1 cut chain measuring 2 inches with 2 pieces of gold cover weighing approximately 10.900 and 1 gold solid weighing 18.300 (Jagadish Prasad also told some of the gold articles were converted into solid), the police have prepared Seizure Mahazar and seized the aforesaid articles in our presence, read over the contents of Mahazar in Hindi language though it was written in Kannada and took our signatures on the Mahazar. It is false to suggest that the very same gold articles which were seized under Mahazar are seen in Ex.P6 photograph now shown to me.

5. It is false to suggest that though mahazar was conducted and gold articles were seized from Jagadish Prasad at the instance of Accused Bahaddu Singh, now I am denying it.

Cross-examination by Sri. RKA Advocate for accused No.1:-

Submits no cross-examination.

Re-examination: Nil.

(Computerized to my dictation in the open court)

ROI & AC

II Addl District and Sessions Judge,
Mangaluru, D.K.